

# Domestic Violence, Stalking, and Sexual Assault In Kansas

As Reported by Law Enforcement Agencies



# 2017

Prepared by:

Kansas Bureau of Investigation  
Kirk D. Thompson, Director  
1620 SW Tyler  
Topeka, Kansas 66612  
785.296.8200

This project is supported by subgrant number 18-VAWA-17 awarded by the Kansas Governor's Grants Program for the STOP Formula Grant Program. The opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication, program, or exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Office of the Kansas Governor or the U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Domestic Violence</b>	<b>7</b>
Kansas Domestic Violence Incident and Arrest Trend, 1997 - 2017	8
Kansas Domestic Violence Homicide Trend, 1997 - 2017	9
Murder, Victim / Suspect Relationship, Age, Sex, and Race	11
Domestic Violence Crime Clock	15
Kansas Reported Domestic Violence Incidents by Agency, 2015 - 2017	16
Domestic Violence, Victim/Offender Characteristics	35
Domestic Violence, Relationship of Victim to Offender	36
Domestic Violence, Location of Incident	37
Domestic Violence, Weapon Used	38
Domestic Violence, Type of Injury	39
Domestic Violence, Day of Occurrence, Chart and Graph	40
Domestic Violence, Twenty-Four Hour Trend, Chart and Graph	41
Domestic Violence, Statute Violations	42
<b>Protection From Abuse Orders</b>	<b>45</b>
Number of Filings Trend Graph, 1997 - 2017	45
Number of Filings by County, 2001 - 2017	46
Reported Violations of Protection of Abuse by County	50
<b>Protection From Stalking Act</b>	<b>51</b>
Number of Filings Trend Graph 2003-2017	51
Number of Court Filings by County	52
<b>Stalking</b>	<b>53</b>
Number of Offenses Reported to Law Enforcement	53
Stalking, Victim/Offender Characteristics	54
Stalking, Relationship of Victim to Offender	55
Stalking, Location of Incident	56
Stalking, Day of Occurrence, Chart and Graph	57
Stalking, Twenty-Four Hour Trend, Chart and Graph	58
<b>Rape</b>	<b>59</b>
Kansas Rape Trend, 1998- 2017, Chart and Graph	60
Rape Crime Clock	61
Kansas Reported Rape Incidents by Agency, 2015 - 2017	62
Rape, Victim / Offender Characteristics	80
Rape, Relationship of Victim to Offender	81
Rape, Location of Incident	82
Rape, Weapon Used	83
Rape, Type of Injury	84
Rape, Day of Occurrence, Chart and Graph	85
Rape, Twenty-Four Hour Trend, Chart and Graph	86

# Table of Contents

<b>Criminal Sodomy . . . . .</b>	<b>87</b>
Number of Offenses Reported to Law Enforcement . . . . .	88
Criminal Sodomy Crime Clock . . . . .	89
Sodomy, Victim/Offender Characteristics . . . . .	90
Sodomy, Relationship of Victim to Offender. . . . .	91
Sodomy, Location of Incident . . . . .	92
Sodomy, Weapon Used . . . . .	93
Sodomy, Type of Injury . . . . .	94
Sodomy, Day of Occurrence, Chart and Graph . . . . .	95
Sodomy, Twenty-Four Hour Trend, Chart and Graph . . . . .	96
<b>Sexual Battery . . . . .</b>	<b>97</b>
Sexual Battery, 2003- 2017, Chart and Graph . . . . .	98
Sexual Battery Crime Clock . . . . .	99
Sexual Battery, Victim / Offender Characteristics. . . . .	100
Sexual Battery, Relationship of Victim to Offender . . . . .	101
Sexual Battery, Location of Incident . . . . .	102
Sexual Battery, Weapon Used . . . . .	103
Sexual Battery, Type of Injury.. . . . .	104
Sexual Battery, Day of Occurrence, Chart and Graph . . . . .	105
Sexual Battery, Twenty-Four Hour Trend, Chart and Graph . . . . .	106
<b>Resources For Victims . . . . .</b>	<b>107</b>

# Introduction

Governor Jeff Coyler's office administers the Federal S.T.O.P. Violence Against Women Act grant program and provides grant funds to the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI) to facilitate the data entry of the Kansas Standard Offense Reports and the Kansas Standard Arrest Reports relating to crimes of domestic violence, stalking, and sexual violence. This report is based on 2017 statistical data as submitted by Kansas law enforcement agencies. This report is intended to assist agencies in strengthening the criminal justice system which holds offenders accountable for their actions and provides resources to help respond to these crimes.

The KBI compiled the following report on domestic violence, stalking, and sexual violence statistics for 2017. The data represents the truest and most accurate information available, reflecting domestic violence, rape, stalking, sodomy, and sexual battery incidents as reported by law enforcement agencies through the Kansas Incident Based Reporting System (KIBRS). This system enables crime information from standard offense and arrest reports to be obtained manually, electronically, and directly from Kansas law enforcement agencies.

This report does not claim to represent all domestic violence incidents, stalking offenses, or sexually violent incidents committed throughout the State of Kansas, as it is dependent on victims reporting crimes to law enforcement agencies and for these agencies to submit incident reports to the KBI. Only domestic violence, stalking, and sexually violent incident and arrest reports that were submitted to the KBI were counted for this report.

Since 1992, all Kansas law enforcement agencies have been required to make mandatory arrests for domestic violence incidents when there is probable cause that a crime was committed. Officers also are required to fill out a Kansas Standard Offense report for every domestic violence incident, whether or not an arrest was made. Each law enforcement agency is required to have a written policy describing its protocol for addressing domestic violence incidents.

Effective in July of 2011, the state legislature passed a bill creating a universal definition for domestic violence incidents. This definition is to be used by all Kansas law enforcement agencies. The new definition can be found on page six of this publication.

## **OFFENSES**

The domestic violence section of this report includes, but is not limited to, offenses that involve: murder, assault, aggravated assault, assault on a law enforcement officer, aggravated assault on a law enforcement officer, battery, aggravated battery, battery on a law enforcement officer, aggravated battery on a law enforcement officer, rape, theft, unlawful deprivation of property, burglary, and aggravated burglary.

## **DUAL ARRESTS**

The domestic violence section of this report includes "dual arrests" which refers to cases where more than one person was arrested for the same domestic violence incident. In a number of cases, this is the result of an incident where the primary aggressor cannot be determined so both parties involved are arrested. There also may be a number of cases in which multiple arrests were made at the incident because a third party may have intervened. Because of this "dual arrest" procedure, some agencies have reported more arrests than incidents in a particular year. By factoring in dual arrests, a more accurate arrest percentage can be reported.

# Introduction

## **CLEARANCES VS ARRESTS**

There are several references to arrest rates throughout this publication. Exceptional clearances are methods to close a case, other than with an arrest. Examples of an exceptional clearance are: death of the offender, when a victim refuses to testify, when extradition is denied, when prosecution is denied, or when a juvenile is released to a parent. Please keep these in mind when viewing arrest rates as clearance rates could be higher.

## **TABLES AND GRAPHS**

Several tables and graphs regarding domestic violence, stalking, and sexually violent crimes, as well as victim and offenders, are included in this report. Some domestic violence, stalking, and sexually violent incidents may have had more than one offense, victim, offender, type of weapon, or victim-offender relationship. Some reports were not filled out completely, making detailed information on the incident unknown or unavailable. With this in mind, not all totals for each section will always match.

In order to present the most accurate representation of domestic violence, stalking, and sexual violence, without misinterpreting the data, the information for this report was recorded as it was reported to the KBI by law enforcement agencies as of July 30, 2017.

## **AGENCIES NOT REPORTING COMPLETE DATA**

If an agency does not submit a minimum of three months data a “DNR” (did not report) is indicated. If an agency does not report complete data (minimum of 10 months data), the data is included but the agency is flagged to recognize this discrepancy. This change will more accurately depict the true activity within each agency and county. Data is listed as questionable when a large decline in submissions by the local agency occurs.

## **RAPE DEFINITION**

In 2013, the Federal Bureau of Investigation changed their definition of rape to include sodomy. This report continues to use the historical definition as defined on page 58. However, separate sections have been included for criminal sodomy and sexual assault.

# Domestic Violence

In 1991, the Kansas Legislature enacted mandates that required all Kansas law enforcement agencies to adopt written policies regarding their procedures for responding to domestic violence incidents within their jurisdictions. Each law enforcement policy must include a directive that officers who have probable cause that a crime was committed at a domestic violence incident “shall make an arrest.” All standard offense reports were required to be submitted to the Kansas Bureau of Investigation (KBI) beginning in January 1992, whether or not an arrest was made. Since this legislative action, Kansas law enforcement agencies have increased arrest rates for domestic violence related crimes from 37.1 percent in 1992 to 50.0 percent in 2017.

Victim and offender characteristics for domestic violence have not changed drastically. Still, the average victim is a white female between the ages of 20 and 29. The average offender is a white male between the ages of 20 and 34 and is most often the spouse, boyfriend/girlfriend, or former boyfriend/girlfriend of the victim. Saturdays and Sundays are the two days when domestic violence incidents are most likely to occur. Most incidents occur between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and noon or between 12:00 a.m. and 4:00 a.m.

Domestic violence can be defined as any verbal and/or physical abuse ranging from simple assault to murder. In 2017, 54.5 percent of all domestic violence incidents involved the criminal offense of battery. Battery is defined as “*intentionally or recklessly causing bodily harm to another person or intentionally causing physical contact with another person when done in a rude, insulting or angry manner.*” In 2001, the Kansas Legislature enacted the “domestic battery” statute which is “(1) *intentionally or recklessly causing bodily harm by a family or household member against a family or household member; or (2) intentionally causing physical contact with a family or household member by a family or household member when done in a rude, insulting or angry manner.*”

Most domestic violence offenders use some type of bodily force against their victims and most use their hands, fists, or feet as personal weapons. An incident of domestic violence most often occurs at home. Almost half (47.6 percent) of all victims receive physical injuries severe enough to cause visible abrasions.


"Domestic violence" means an act or threatened act of violence against a person with whom the offender is involved or has been involved in a dating relationship, or an act or threatened act of violence against a family or household member by a family or household member. Domestic violence also includes any other crime committed against a person or against property, or any municipal ordinance violation against a person or against property, when directed against a person with whom the offender is involved or has been involved in a dating relationship or when directed against a family or household member by a family or household member. For the purposes of this definition:

(1) "Dating relationship" means a social relationship of a romantic nature. In addition to any other factors the court deems relevant, the trier of fact may consider the following when making a determination of whether a relationship exists or existed: nature of the relationship, length of time the relationship existed, frequency of interaction between the parties, and time since termination of the relationship, if applicable.

(2) "Family or household member" means persons 18 years of age or older who are spouses, former spouses, parents or stepparents and children or stepchildren, and persons who are presently residing together or have resided together in the past, and persons who have a child in common regardless of whether they have been married or have lived together at any time. Family or household member also includes a man and woman if the woman is pregnant and the man is alleged to be the father, regardless of whether they have been married or have lived together at any time.

# Kansas Domestic Violence Incident and Arrest Trend

YEAR	NUMBER OF INCIDENTS	PERCENT OF CHANGE	INCIDENTS PER / 1,000 POPULATION	NUMBER OF INCIDENTS WITH ARRESTS
1997	19,159	-2.5%	7.4	11,083
1998	18,966	-1.0%	7.2	10,958
1999	20,901	10.2%	8.0	10,958
2000	23,236	11.2%	8.6	15,136
2001	19,870	-14.5%	7.4	11,406
2002	22,434	12.9%	8.3	11,474
2003	18,287	-18.5%	6.7	10,373
2004	18,042	-1.3%	6.7	9,833
2005	19,222	6.5%	7.0	10,951
2006	23,077	20.1%	8.4	11,754
2007	22,429	-2.8%	8.1	11,423
2008	21,500	-4.1%	7.7	11,887
2009	23,864	11.0%	8.5	12,584
2010	23,828	-0.2%	8.3	14,194
2011	24,159	1.4%	8.2	14,550
2012	24,373	0.9%	8.2	14,102
2013	23,508	-3.5%	7.9	12,607
2014	22,887	-2.6%	7.7	12,450
2015	22,712	-0.8%	7.7	11,559
2016	23,004	1.3%	7.8	11,444
2017	22,708	-1.3%	7.7	11,356





# Kansas Domestic Violence Homicide Trend

The following chart indicates the number of domestic violence homicides that occurred in Kansas between 1998 - 2017. The victims included are spouses, former spouses, persons in intimate relationships whether on-going or in the past, and persons 18 years of age or older who are blood or step-related to one another.


Starting in 1999, only adults who have been killed in a domestic violence situation are reported in this statistical information. Cases involving children are reviewed by the State Child Death Review Board (SCDRB) and statistical information can be found in the SCDRB report at [www.ksag.org](http://www.ksag.org).

YEAR*	DV-Homicides	Annual Percent of Change	Incident per 1,000 Population	Total Homicides	Percent DV Related
1998	26	18.2%	0.01	172	15.1%
1999*	22	-15.4%	0.01	NA	NA
2000	26	18.2%	0.01	156	16.7%
2001	15	-42.3%	0.01	142	10.6%
2002	18	20.0%	0.01	106	16.9%
2003	15	-16.7%	0.01	121	12.4%
2004	25	66.7%	0.01	122	20.5%
2005	21	-16.0%	0.01	107	19.6%
2006	21	0.0%	0.01	123	17.1%
2007	17	-19.0%	0.01	115	14.8%
2008	19	11.8%	0.01	128	14.8%
2009	35	84.2%	0.01	130	26.92%
2010	32	-8.6%	0.01	105	30.5%
2011	28	-12.5%	0.01	116	24.1%
2012	24	-14.3%	0.01	91	26.4%
2013	30	25.0%	0.01	120	25.0%
2014	16	-46.7%	0.01	97	16.5%
2015	30	87.5%	0.01	131	22.9%
2016	19	-36.7%	0.01	148	12.8%
2017	38	100.0%	0.01	176	21.6%


\*Total homicide data are not available for 1999.

# Kansas Domestic Violence Homicide Trend Charts

## Domestic Violence Homicides



## Percent of Homicides DV Related



\*Total homicide data are not available for 1999.

# Domestic Violence Homicides Relationship, Age, Sex, Race 2017


Victim Race	Victim Sex	Victim Age	Victim Relationship	Weapons Used	Circumstances	Suspect Race	Suspect Sex	Suspect Age	County
W	F	33	Spouse	Handgun	Unknown	W	M	32	Cowley
W	F	55	Parent	Blunt Object	Argument	W	M	34	Allen
W	M	83	Parent	Personal	Unknown	W	M	57	Sedgwick
W	M	54	Spouse	Firearm	Argument	W	F	50	Leavenworth
W	F	61	Parent	Handgun	Argument	W	M	30	Coffey
W	M	40	Boyfriend	Handgun	Argument	W	F	30	Sedgwick
W	M	22	Step-Sibling	Shotgun	Argument	W	M	36	Sedgwick
B	F	38	Girlfriend	Shotgun	Argument	A	M	30	Lyon
W	M	59	Roommate	Knife	Argument	W	M	57	Labette
W	F	63	Parent of BF	Knife	Argument	W	F	35	Sedgwick
W	F	34	Girlfriend	Firearm	Argument	W	M	41	Wyandotte
W	F	24	Spouse	Handgun	Argument	B	M	25	Wyandotte
W	F	29	Girlfriend	Firearm	Argument	B	M	46	Cherokee
W	M	64	Boyfriend	Unspecified	Argument	W	F	55	Cherokee
B	M	29	Siblings	Handgun	Argument	B	M	25	Shawnee
A	M	64	Co-worker	Handgun	Argument	B	M	25	Shawnee
A	M	20	Otherwise Known	Handgun	Argument	B	M	25	Shawnee
W	M	26	Ex BF of Susp GF	Shotgun	Viol of Protection	W	M	28	Wyandotte
W	M	47	Acquaintance	Shotgun	Viol of Protection	W	M	28	Wyandotte
W	F	47	Acquaintance	Shotgun	Viol of Protection	W	M	28	Wyandotte
B	F	21	Ex Girlfriend	Shotgun	Argument	B	M	24	Sedgwick
B	F	36	Girlfriend	Blunt Object	Argument	W	M	29	Sedgwick
W	F	33	Ex-Spouse	Personal	Argument	W	M	38	Shawnee

# Domestic Violence Homicides Relationship, Age, Sex, Race 2017

Victim Race	Victim Sex	Victim Age	Victim Relationship	Weapons Used	Circumstances	Suspect Race	Suspect Sex	Suspect Age	County
W	M	50	Parent	Blunt Object	Argument	W	M	25	Wilson
W	M	49	Homosexual	Handgun	Argument	W	M	28	Wyandotte
B	M	62	Step-Parent	Firearm	Argument	B	M	31	Johnson
B	M	31	Step-Parent	Knife	Argument	B	M	26	Wyandotte
W	F	37	Spouse	Firearm	Argument	B	M	36	Johnson
W	F	24	Girlfriend	Knife	Unknown	W	M	19	Harvey
W	F	36	Ex-Girlfriend	Firearm	Argument	W	M	41	Douglas
W	M	36	Sibling	Handgun	Argument	W	M	29	Shawnee
W	F	64	Sibling	Rifle	Argument	W	M	66	Jefferson
W	M	61	Neighbor	Rifle	Argument	W	M	66	Jefferson
W	F	40	Ex-Girlfriend	Firearm	Unknown	B	M	40	Sedgwick
W	M	39	Spouse	Firearm	Other Circumstances	W	F	42	Seward
W	F	42	Girlfriend	Blunt Object	Unknown	W	M	37	Sedgwick
W	F	25	Girlfriend	Asphyxiation	Argument	W	M	33	Sedgwick
W	F	27	Spouse	Handgun	Argument	W	M	27	Shawnee


# Domestic Violence Homicides Victim: Age, Sex, Race 2017

**Victim Age:**




20-29	10
30-39	10
40-49	6
50-59	4
60-69	7
70 and over	1

**Victim Race/Ethnicity:**




Asian	2
Black	6
Hispanic	7
White	23

**Victim Male/Female:**



Female	20
Male	18


**Victim's Relationship:**



Boyfriend/Girlfriend	13
Spouse (inc Ex and Common Law)	7
Other Family	10
Other	8


# Domestic Violence Homicides Suspect: Age, Sex, Race 2017

## Suspect Male/Female:




Female	5
Male	33

## Weapon Used:




Firearms	26
Knife	4
Personal	2
Blunt Object	4
Other	2

## Suspect's Race/Ethnicity:




Asian	1
Black	10
Hispanic	2
White	25

## Circumstances:




Argument	29
Unknown	5
Other	4

## Suspect's Age:



10-19	1
20-29	14
30-39	12
40-49	5
50-59	4
60-69	2

# Domestic Violence Crime Clock 2017



One  
Domestic Violence  
Murder Occurred  
every 9 Days, 14 Hours, 24  
Minutes, 31 seconds

One  
Domestic Violence  
Incident Occurred  
Every 23 Minutes, 9 Seconds

Law Enforcement  
Made One Domestic  
Violence Arrest  
Every 41 Minutes,  
24 Seconds

These crime clocks should be viewed with care. Being the most aggregate representation of crime data, it is designed to convey the annual crime experience by showing the relative frequency of occurrence of crime incident. This mode of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of these incidents; rather, it represents the annual ratio of crime to fixed time intervals.

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Allen County SO	35	30	1	82.9%	29	30	6	82.8%	27	18	3	55.6%
Humboldt PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	7	9	2	100.0%
Iola PD	71	69	3	93.0%	68	63	6	83.8%	71	63	4	83.1%
Moran PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
LaHarpe PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>83.5%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>77.1%</b>
Anderson County SO	9	3	0	33.3%	7	5	0	71.4%	14	8	1	50.0%
Garnett PD	28	25	3	78.6%	31	28	3	80.6%	31	24	3	67.7%
Colony PD	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>62.2%</b>
Atchison County SO	4	3	0	75.0%	6	2	0	33.3%	6	7	2	83.3%
Atchison PD	69	48	3	65.2%	84	46	1	53.6%	69	44	0	63.8%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>65.2%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>65.3%</b>
Barber County SO <sup>6</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	5	4	0	80.0%	10	8	0	80.0%
Kiowa PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Medicine Lodge PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	19	12	0	63.2%	16	11	1	62.5%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>69.2%</b>
Barton County SO <sup>6</sup>	12	12	1	91.7%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	31	18	0	58.1%
Ellinwood PD	11	10	0	90.9%	8	8	1	87.5%	11	9	1	72.7%
Great Bend PD	196	159	26	67.9%	183	140	14	68.9%	209	144	20	59.3%
Hoisington PD <sup>3</sup>	18	17	2	83.3%	0	0	0	NA	18	10	1	50.0%
Claflin PD	2	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>59.3%</b>
Bourbon County SO	25	16	1	60.0%	35	18	1	48.6%	34	24	0	70.6%
Fort Scott PD	82	43	2	50.0%	86	57	6	59.3%	114	72	6	57.9%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>60.8%</b>



# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Brown County SO <sup>6</sup>	19	14	1	68.4%	41	14	0	34.1%	20	6	0	30.0%
Hiawatha PD	30	29	4	83.3%	19	14	0	73.7%	28	27	4	82.1%
Horton PD	17	16	1	88.2%	16	12	0	75.0%	10	7	1	60.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>80.3%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>60.3%</b>
Butler County SO	112	64	2	55.4%	93	62	3	63.4%	100	59	1	58.0%
Augusta PD	86	62	5	66.3%	79	57	3	68.4%	63	47	6	65.1%
El Dorado PD	99	87	8	79.8%	109	95	9	78.9%	83	71	14	68.7%
Andover PD	36	22	4	50.0%	48	27	5	45.8%	57	34	1	57.9%
Rose Hill PD <sup>6</sup>	9	6	0	66.7%	4	3	0	75.0%	7	7	0	100.0%
Leon PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Benton PD <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	4	2	0	50.0%	7	6	0	85.7%
Butler Community College <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>67.1%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>63.7%</b>
Chase County SO <sup>3</sup>	4	5	1	100.0%	3	3	0	100.0%	4	2	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Chautauqua County SO	7	6	0	85.7%	1	1	0	100.0%	3	2	0	66.7%
Sedan PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Cedar Vale PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
Cherokee County SO	49	31	1	61.2%	56	27	1	46.4%	62	32	1	50.0%
Columbus PD	20	12	1	55.0%	22	16	1	68.2%	39	36	4	82.1%
Galena PD	29	17	0	58.6%	33	28	4	72.7%	17	10	0	58.8%
Baxter Springs PD	29	30	2	96.6%	27	27	3	88.9%	44	47	12	79.5%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>67.7%</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
Cheyenne County SO <sup>6</sup>	11	8	0	72.7%	2	2	0	100.0%	7	4	0	57.1%
St Francis PD	0	0	0	NA	2	1	0	50.0%	1	0	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Clark County SO <sup>6</sup>	8	6	1	62.5%	5	2	0	40.0%	2	1	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Clay County SO	23	20	2	78.3%	9	5	1	44.4%	10	6	0	60.0%
Clay Center PD	32	27	1	81.3%	28	27	1	92.9%	26	21	1	76.9%
Wakefield PD	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>81.1%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>72.2%</b>
Cloud County SO	25	19	0	76.0%	28	22	1	75.0%	25	23	2	84.0%
Concordia PD	60	34	1	55.0%	37	13	0	35.1%	63	38	0	60.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>61.2%</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>67.0%</b>
Coffey County SO <sup>3</sup>	8	6	0	75.0%	26	21	0	80.8%	32	27	2	78.1%
Burlington PD	28	32	5	96.4%	29	28	1	93.1%	33	30	2	84.8%
Lebo PD	2	2	0	100.0%	3	3	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Waverly PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
LeRoy PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>92.1%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>87.9%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>81.8%</b>
Commanche County SO <sup>3</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Coldwater PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Protection PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>
Cowley County SO	52	31	1	57.7%	56	36	4	57.1%	69	39	4	50.7%
Arkansas City PD	175	103	10	53.1%	189	123	15	57.1%	156	110	12	62.8%
Winfield PD	88	70	4	75.0%	93	78	6	77.4%	106	65	2	59.4%
Burden PD <sup>3</sup>	4	3	0	75.0%	3	0	0	0.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
Udall PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>60.2%</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>62.2%</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>59.5%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Crawford County SO	43	16	0	37.2%	44	19	1	40.9%	75	53	4	65.3%
Pittsburg PD	126	76	3	57.9%	196	132	1	66.8%	138	126	3	89.1%
Arma PD	10	6	0	60.0%	6	4	0	66.7%	11	8	1	63.6%
Girard PD	19	10	1	47.4%	26	15	0	57.7%	24	15	0	62.5%
Frontenac PD	16	13	0	81.3%	13	12	0	92.3%	18	15	0	83.3%
Cherokee PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Pittsburg State University PD	3	4	1	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%
Arcadia PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Mulberry PD	5	1	0	20.0%	2	1	0	50.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>63.1%</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>78.7%</b>
Decatur County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Oberlin PD	8	3	0	37.5%	3	2	0	66.7%	4	2	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Dickinson County SO	51	39	3	70.6%	65	36	1	53.8%	56	44	7	66.1%
Abilene PD	41	42	4	92.7%	37	35	2	89.2%	63	59	1	92.1%
Herrington PD	13	11	2	69.2%	14	12	1	78.6%	16	15	2	81.3%
Chapman PD	3	3	0	100.0%	3	3	0	100.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>80.3%</b>
Doniphan County SO	7	8	2	85.7%	7	7	1	85.7%	7	1	0	14.3%
Elwood PD	8	7	0	87.5%	3	3	0	100.0%	3	2	0	66.7%
Troy PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	2	4	2	100.0%
Wathena PD <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	5	4	0	80.0%	6	2	0	33.3%
Highland PD	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%	2	1	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>81.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>81.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
Douglas County SO <sup>5</sup>	28	18	2	57.1%	38	25	3	57.9%	36	15	2	36.1%
Lawrence PD <sup>5</sup>	935	430	264	17.8%	820	486	39	54.5%	763	377	54	42.3%
University of Kansas PD	7	4	0	57.1%	10	6	0	60.0%	10	2	0	20.0%
Baldwin City PD <sup>5</sup>	18	10	0	55.6%	18	12	0	66.7%	16	7	1	37.5%

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Douglas County continued												
Eudora PD <sup>3</sup>	12	8	0	66.7%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>825</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>41.7%</b>
Edwards County SO	8	5	0	62.5%	8	6	0	75.0%	9	5	0	55.6%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55.6%</b>
Elk County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	3	2	0	66.7%	2	0	0	0.0%
Howard PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Ellis County SO	14	8	0	57.1%	16	11	0	68.8%	25	20	3	68.0%
Hays PD	85	85	2	97.6%	127	120	10	86.6%	142	151	18	93.7%
Ellis PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	7	7	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Victoria PD	2	2	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	3	3	0	100.0%
Fort Hays State University PD	2	1	0	50.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>91.3%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>85.3%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>90.1%</b>
Ellsworth County SO <sup>6</sup>	17	4	0	23.5%	3	3	0	100.0%	8	1	0	12.5%
Ellsworth PD	11	8	1	63.6%	20	12	0	60.0%	9	3	0	33.3%
Wilson PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	4	1	0	25.0%
Kanapolis PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Holyrood PD <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	1	1	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>65.2%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27.3%</b>
Finney County SO	56	52	0	92.9%	46	39	1	82.6%	59	52	1	86.4%
Garden City PD	150	110	4	70.7%	163	126	6	73.6%	194	160	15	74.7%
Holcomb PD	11	7	0	63.6%	4	3	0	75.0%	10	9	0	90.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>75.6%</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>77.9%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Ford County SO	18	10	0	55.6%	21	8	0	38.1%	24	18	4	58.3%
Dodge City PD	355	339	28	87.6%	291	287	33	87.3%	235	231	21	89.4%
Bucklin PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Spearville PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>86.1%</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>86.5%</b>
Franklin County SO	56	44	3	73.2%	54	45	4	75.9%	46	32	2	65.2%
Ottawa PD	79	40	0	50.6%	114	74	1	64.0%	100	73	1	72.0%
Wellsville PD	6	4	0	66.7%	4	3	0	75.0%	4	2	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>60.3%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>69.3%</b>
Geary County SO	38	35	3	84.2%	40	32	3	72.5%	30	24	3	70.0%
Junction City PD	405	255	21	57.8%	424	267	19	58.5%	439	276	18	58.8%
Grandview Plaza PD	25	26	5	84.0%	61	58	5	86.9%	36	38	9	80.6%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>62.9%</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>61.0%</b>
Gove County SO	5	4	0	80.0%	1	0	0	0.0%	4	4	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Graham County SO <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%
Hill City PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	2	1	0	50.0%	4	3	0	75.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
Grant County SO	8	7	0	87.5%	10	8	1	70.0%	11	8	0	72.7%
Ulysses PD <sup>6</sup>	27	23	2	77.8%	27	16	1	55.6%	39	21	1	51.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>56.0%</b>
Gray County SO	21	13	0	61.9%	16	9	0	56.3%	30	18	2	53.3%
Montezuma PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>53.3%</b>
Greeley County SO	1	1	0	100.0%	1	0	0	0.0%	5	0	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Greenwood County SO	20	21	2	95.0%	22	18	0	81.8%	16	12	1	68.8%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>95.0%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>68.8%</b>
Hamilton County SO <sup>6</sup>	5	3	1	40.0%	4	3	0	75.0%	11	10	0	90.9%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90.9%</b>
Harper County SO <sup>6</sup>	6	6	1	83.3%	8	7	0	87.5%	11	10	0	90.9%
Anthony PD	23	15	1	60.9%	25	19	1	72.0%	19	12	2	52.6%
Attica PD	4	0	0	0.0%	2	1	0	50.0%	2	3	1	100.0%
Harper PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>57.6%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>68.8%</b>
Harvey County SO	19	14	1	68.4%	18	9	1	44.4%	14	11	2	64.3%
Newton PD	299	172	5	55.9%	298	141	3	46.3%	279	166	9	56.3%
Hesston PD	11	10	3	63.6%	9	8	0	88.9%	4	4	1	75.0%
Halstead PD <sup>6</sup>	9	5	1	44.4%	23	20	3	73.9%	8	10	2	100.0%
Sedgwick PD	4	3	0	75.0%	4	2	0	50.0%	5	4	1	60.0%
Burton PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
North Newton PD	0	0	0	NA	3	3	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Walton PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>56.7%</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>58.2%</b>
Haskell County SO	10	9	0	90.0%	6	2	0	33.3%	11	5	0	45.5%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45.5%</b>
Hodgeman County SO <sup>6</sup>	4	3	0	75.0%	3	4	1	100.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Jackson County SO	27	18	1	63.0%	31	15	0	48.4%	29	26	3	79.3%
Holton PD	25	17	2	60.0%	26	18	3	57.7%	45	22	2	44.4%
Mayetta PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>58.1%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Jefferson County SO <sup>6</sup>	49	24	1	46.9%	40	33	3	75.0%	39	33	1	82.1%
Valley Falls PD	12	7	1	50.0%	2	1	0	50.0%	6	4	0	66.7%
Meriden PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
McLouth PD	3	3	0	100.0%	6	2	0	33.3%	2	0	0	0.0%
Nortonville PD	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
Oskaloosa PD <sup>3</sup>	2	0	0	0.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	4	1	0	25.0%
Winchester PD	2	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Perry PD <sup>3</sup>	2	1	0	50.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Ozawkie PD	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46.5%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>70.6%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>74.1%</b>
Jewell County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>
Johnson County SO	58	42	1	70.7%	63	52	7	71.4%	70	65	8	81.4%
Fairway PD <sup>6</sup>	0	0	0	NA	7	0	0	0.0%	3	3	1	66.7%
Leawood PD	58	40	1	67.2%	67	34	0	50.7%	67	47	0	70.1%
Merriam PD	88	69	0	78.4%	104	75	1	71.2%	84	52	0	61.9%
Mission PD <sup>5</sup>	32	13	0	40.6%	45	24	1	51.1%	47	15	2	27.7%
Olathe PD <sup>5</sup>	782	339	1	43.2%	794	659	0	83.0%	789	346	0	43.9%
Overland Park PD <sup>5</sup>	1,062	679	13	62.7%	922	632	10	67.5%	842	583	20	66.9%
Prairie Village PD	31	24	1	74.2%	24	19	0	79.2%	38	28	0	73.7%
Roeland Park PD <sup>4,5</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	52	22	3	36.5%	18	0	0	0.0%
Shawnee PD	320	157	2	48.4%	328	178	7	52.1%	326	161	2	48.8%
Lenexa PD	167	90	1	53.3%	223	156	1	69.5%	147	90	5	57.8%
Westwood PD	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	3	2	0	66.7%
Gardner PD	110	77	2	68.2%	28	17	0	60.7%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Mission Hills PD	4	3	0	75.0%	4	4	0	100.0%	2	1	0	50.0%
Spring Hill PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	5	3	0	60.0%
Johnson County Parks PD	2	1	0	50.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	3	1	0	33.3%
Lake Quivera PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,715</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>2,663</b>	<b>1,874</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>2,444</b>	<b>1,397</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>55.6%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Kearny County SO	24	8	0	33.3%	9	8	2	66.7%	15	12	1	73.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>73.3%</b>
Kingman County SO	7	4	0	57.1%	4	1	0	25.0%	10	7	1	60.0%
Kingman PD	18	12	0	66.7%	19	14	1	68.4%	20	17	1	80.0%
Norwich PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>60.9%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>73.3%</b>
Kiowa County SO	8	7	0	87.5%	4	3	0	75.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
Greensburg PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Labette County SO	20	4	1	15.0%	12	5	1	33.3%	36	22	3	52.8%
Parsons PD	174	63	2	35.1%	162	32	3	17.9%	200	65	3	31.0%
Oswego PD	7	8	1	100.0%	4	3	0	75.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Chetopa PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	2	1	0	50.0%	4	4	0	100.0%
Altamont PD	4	2	0	50.0%	3	3	0	100.0%	5	4	0	80.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>36.6%</b>
Lane County SO <sup>6</sup>	9	5	0	55.6%	4	2	0	50.0%	8	4	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Leavenworth County SO	65	36	2	52.3%	77	39	0	50.6%	76	42	3	51.3%
Leavenworth PD	614	214	8	33.6%	565	208	12	34.7%	543	215	19	36.1%
Tonganoxie PD	13	10	0	76.9%	27	15	1	51.9%	21	16	1	71.4%
Lausling PD	83	38	3	42.2%	46	25	2	50.0%	64	39	5	53.1%
Basehor PD	23	10	1	39.1%	12	7	0	58.3%	12	12	2	83.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>727</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41.1%</b>
Lincoln County SO <sup>6</sup>	5	2	0	40.0%	6	4	0	66.7%	6	4	0	66.7%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>



# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Linn County SO <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0	100.0%	18	4	0	22.2%	11	10	0	90.9%
Mound City PD <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Pleasanton PD	5	4	0	80.0%	4	3	0	75.0%	10	9	2	70.0%
La Cygne PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
Linn Valley PD	8	8	1	87.5%	2	1	0	50.0%	4	2	1	25.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>72.0%</b>
Logan County SO	0	0	0	NA	3	3	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Oakley PD	8	6	1	62.5%	10	8	1	70.0%	4	3	0	75.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
Lyon County SO	13	6	0	46.2%	21	10	0	47.6%	19	8	0	42.1%
Emporia PD	86	67	3	74.4%	124	10	1	7.3%	128	5	0	3.9%
Emporia State University PD	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Americus PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8.8%</b>
McPherson County SO <sup>4</sup>	25	12	0	48.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
McPherson PD	119	79	5	62.2%	86	32	2	34.9%	117	52	3	41.9%
Lindsborg PD	14	13	0	92.9%	10	7	1	60.0%	13	8	0	61.5%
Moundridge PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	4	4	0	100.0%
Inman PD <sup>6</sup>	3	4	1	100.0%	1	0	0	0.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Canton PD	4	4	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>64.2%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>45.9%</b>
Marion County SO	15	10	0	66.7%	16	8	0	50.0%	11	4	0	36.4%
Hillsboro PD	8	6	0	75.0%	7	3	0	42.9%	3	2	0	66.7%
Marion PD <sup>6</sup>	6	2	0	33.3%	1	1	0	100.0%	11	11	1	90.9%
Peabody PD	8	6	0	75.0%	8	6	0	75.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Florence PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Goessel PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA
Burns PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64.9%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>65.4%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Marshall County SO <sup>3</sup>	2	1	0	50.0%	7	7	0	100.0%	8	7	0	87.5%
Marysville PD	27	14	1	48.1%	15	7	0	46.7%	11	11	1	90.9%
Blue Rapids PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	4	4	0	100.0%
Frankfort PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Waterville PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>91.7%</b>
Meade County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Meade PD <sup>3</sup>	5	5	0	100.0%	4	4	1	75.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Miami County SO	57	10	0	17.5%	62	17	0	27.4%	50	28	1	54.0%
Paola PD	31	9	0	29.0%	48	25	0	52.1%	47	25	2	48.9%
Osawatomie PD	29	17	0	58.6%	51	18	1	33.3%	44	22	0	50.0%
Louisburg PD	22	12	1	50.0%	18	6	0	33.3%	17	5	0	29.4%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>48.7%</b>
Mitchell County SO	5	3	0	60.0%	5	5	0	100.0%	5	2	0	40.0%
Beloit PD	22	19	2	77.3%	23	22	4	78.3%	20	23	5	90.0%
Cawker City PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>80.0%</b>
Montgomery County SO <sup>6</sup>	22	0	0	0.0%	33	3	0	9.1%	37	2	0	5.4%
Coffeyville PD	147	71	8	42.9%	169	79	9	41.4%	192	89	7	42.7%
Independence PD	131	70	11	45.0%	133	37	5	24.1%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Cherryvale PD	17	5	0	29.4%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Caney PD	9	8	2	66.7%	6	3	0	50.0%	6	4	0	66.7%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>37.4%</b>
Morris County SO	7	1	0	14.3%	14	0	0	0.0%	8	3	1	25.0%
Council Grove PD <sup>6</sup>	9	0	0	0.0%	5	0	0	0.0%	17	6	0	35.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>32.0%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Morton County SO	7	6	2	57.1%	9	6	0	66.7%	11	7	0	63.6%
Elkhart PD <sup>3</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	7	4	0	57.7%	8	5	0	62.5%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63.2%</b>
Nemaha County SO	9	7	1	66.7%	16	12	1	68.8%	27	21	1	74.1%
Sabetha PD <sup>6</sup>	9	8	1	77.8%	9	6	1	55.6%	8	4	0	50.0%
Seneca PD	11	10	0	90.9%	2	2	0	100.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>70.3%</b>
Neosho County SO	32	15	3	37.5%	21	9	0	42.9%	32	11	1	31.3%
Cherokee PD <sup>3</sup>	11	11	0	100.0%	43	28	4	55.8%	77	51	3	62.3%
Erie PD <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>53.2%</b>
Ness County SO	17	9	0	52.9%	6	3	0	50.0%	7	4	1	42.9%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>42.9%</b>
Norton County SO	7	3	0	42.9%	9	2	0	22.2%	2	1	0	50.0%
Norton PD	16	11	1	62.5%	12	4	0	33.3%	14	6	0	42.9%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43.8%</b>
Osage County SO	18	13	0	72.2%	32	15	0	46.9%	26	20	1	73.1%
Osage City PD	24	9	1	33.3%	32	21	0	65.6%	29	14	0	48.3%
Burlingame PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Carbondale PD <sup>3</sup>	8	6	0	75.0%	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Lyndon PD	1	0	0	0.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Overbrook PD	3	3	1	66.7%	2	0	0	0.0%	3	3	0	100.0%
Scranton PD <sup>6</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Melvern PD	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>62.7%</b>



# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Osborne County SO	4	2	0	50.0%	2	1	0	50.0%	5	4	1	60.0%
Osborne PD	10	8	0	80.0%	9	7	0	77.8%	10	6	0	60.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>60.0%</b>
Ottawa County SO	7	0	0	0.0%	8	0	0	0.0%	6	0	0	0.0%
Delphos PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Minneapolis PD <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0	100.0%	6	4	0	66.7%	3	3	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
Pawnee County SO <sup>6</sup>	4	3	0	75.0%	4	4	0	100.0%	6	5	0	83.3%
Larned PD	40	29	2	67.5%	36	26	3	63.9%	43	26	2	55.8%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>59.2%</b>
Phillips County SO	16	18	4	87.5%	25	22	0	88.0%	10	10	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Pottawatomie County SO	86	42	4	44.2%	102	42	2	39.2%	98	45	0	45.9%
St Mary's PD	6	3	0	50.0%	6	5	0	83.3%	8	4	0	50.0%
Wamego PD <sup>3</sup>	14	0	0	0.0%	22	14	0	63.6%	32	26	0	81.3%
St George PD	1	1	0	100.0%	2	2	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Onaga PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Havensville PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54.7%</b>
Pratt County SO <sup>6</sup>	14	12	1	78.6%	22	12	0	54.5%	19	11	0	57.9%
Pratt PD <sup>6</sup>	23	11	0	47.8%	39	21	0	53.8%	37	26	1	67.6%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>64.3%</b>
Rawlins County SO <sup>6</sup>	4	2	0	50.0%	2	1	0	50.0%	0	0	0	NA
Atwood PD	3	3	0	100.0%	8	7	0	87.5%	3	4	1	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Reno County SO	53	37	3	64.2%	47	29	1	59.6%	42	23	2	50.0%
Hutchinson PD	736	414	18	53.8%	608	346	27	52.5%	578	329	22	53.1%
South Hutchinson PD	25	22	1	84.0%	23	22	2	87.0%	21	13	0	61.9%
Haven PD	6	3	0	50.0%	7	5	1	57.1%	6	6	1	83.3%
Buhler PD <sup>3</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	2	1	0	50.0%	1	0	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>55.5%</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>53.4%</b>
Republic County SO	8	4	0	50.0%	6	1	0	16.7%	1	1	0	100.0%
Belleville PD <sup>3</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	4	4	0	100.0%	5	5	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Rice County SO	13	8	0	61.5%	20	9	1	40.0%	12	11	0	91.7%
Lyons PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Sterling PD <sup>6</sup>	6	5	0	83.3%	5	1	0	20.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Bushton PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Geneseo PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Little River PD <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%
Chase PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84.6%</b>
Riley County PD	477	257	16	50.5%	481	262	24	49.5%	470	260	16	51.9%
Kansas State University PD	9	5	0	55.6%	9	4	0	44.4%	3	1	0	33.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>51.8%</b>
Rooks County SO	7	7	1	85.7%	7	7	1	85.7%	5	5	1	80.0%
Plainville PD <sup>3</sup>	7	7	0	100.0%	4	4	0	100.0%	6	6	0	100.0%
Stockton PD	6	5	0	83.3%	3	2	1	33.3%	4	5	1	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>93.3%</b>
Rush County SO	23	18	1	73.9%	16	12	1	68.8%	21	15	0	71.4%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>73.9%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71.4%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Russell County SO <sup>6</sup>	9	8	1	77.8%	7	6	1	71.4%	8	8	1	87.5%
Russell PD	45	34	5	64.4%	47	30	4	55.3%	44	39	9	68.2%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>71.2%</b>
Saline County SO	37	28	1	73.0%	25	26	3	92.0%	8	8	0	100.0%
Salina PD	681	442	14	62.8%	729	430	22	56.0%	703	425	025	56.9%
Assaria PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Saline County Drug Enforcement	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%				
<b>County Total</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>711</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>57.4%</b>
Scott County SO <sup>3</sup>	2	1	0	50.0%	3	3	0	100.0%	6	4	0	66.7%
Scott City PD <sup>6</sup>	25	24	1	92.0%	20	12	0	60.0%	16	12	2	62.5%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>88.9%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>65.2%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>63.6%</b>
Sedgwick County SO	128	66	5	47.7%	18	6	0	33.3%	16	9	1	50.0%
Derby PD	77	71	2	89.6%	114	103	3	87.7%	88	73	0	83.0%
Haysville PD	90	42	6	40.0%	110	18	1	15.5%	100	61	2	59.0%
Wichita PD	6,122	2,725	188	41.4%	6,711	2,928	173	41.1%	6,598	2,978	208	42.0%
Valley Center PD	29	13	0	44.8%	22	16	1	68.2%	18	17	2	83.3%
Wichita State University PD	4	1	0	25.0%	4	0	0	0.0%	5	1	0	20.0%
Colwich PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%
Eastborough PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%
Cheney PD	2	1	0	50.0%	3	3	0	100.0%	11	10	1	81.8%
Clearwater PD	5	3	0	60.0%	20	21	3	90.0%	18	18	1	94.4%
Goddard PD	23	10	0	43.5%	22	7	1	27.3%	15	10	2	53.3%
Garden Plain PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Mount Hope PD	4	3	0	75.0%	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%
Maize PD	29	23	3	69.0%	41	28	0	68.3%	17	18	2	94.1%
Park City PD	60	55	3	86.7%	83	55	7	57.8%	78	73	11	79.5%
Bel Aire PD	27	17	1	59.3%	13	11	1	76.9%	23	11	0	47.8%
Andale PD	0	0	0	NA	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Keehi PD <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	2	1	0	50.0%

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Sedgwick County continued												
Goddard USD 265	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA
Bentley PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	1	1	0	100.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,601</b>	<b>3,030</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>42.8%</b>	<b>7,164</b>	<b>3,198</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>6,992</b>	<b>3,281</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>43.6%</b>
Seward County SO <sup>6</sup>	6	6	0	100.0%	8	7	0	87.5%	12	11	0	91.7%
Liberal PD <sup>6</sup>	107	61	6	51.4%	90	44	4	44.4%	190	165	13	80.0%
Kismet PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>54.0%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>80.7%</b>
Shawnee County SO	216	87	0	40.3%	171	50	0	29.2%	210	38	0	18.1%
Topeka PD <sup>5</sup>	1,609	644	17	39.0%	1,411	491	20	33.4%	1,483	619	30	39.7%
Rossville PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	1	1	0	100.0%	2	1	0	50.0%
Metro Topeka Airport Auth PD <sup>3</sup>	3	1	0	33.3%	2	2	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA
Topeka Fire Arson Inv	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Washburn University PD	1	1	0	100.0%	4	1	0	25.0%	2	1	0	50.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,830</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>39.1%</b>	<b>1,589</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>1,697</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37.1%</b>
Sheridan County SO <sup>3</sup>	2	3	1	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
Hoxie PD <sup>3</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	2	3	1	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Sherman County SO <sup>3</sup>	4	4	0	100.0%	5	5	0	100.0%	4	4	0	100.0%
Goodland PD	39	39	8	79.5%	36	29	4	69.4%	7	4	1	42.9%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>81.4%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>73.2%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>63.6%</b>
Smith County SO	4	3	0	75.0%	6	4	0	66.7%	6	4	0	66.7%
Smith Center PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66.7%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Stafford County SO	1	1	0	100.0%	5	1	0	20.0%	4	3	0	75.0%
Stafford PD	5	3	0	60.0%	4	3	0	75.0%	5	4	0	80.0%
St John PD <sup>3</sup>	4	4	0	100.0%	7	3	0	42.9%	4	2	0	50.0%
Macksville PD <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0	100.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69.2%</b>
Stanton County SO <sup>3</sup>	2	1	0	50.0%	2	2	0	100.0%	1	2	1	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Stevens County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Hugoton PD	18	13	1	66.7%	13	10	0	76.9%	11	9	0	81.8%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81.8%</b>
Summer County SO	41	18	1	41.5%	40	15	0	37.5%	39	18	1	43.6%
Wellington PD	88	43	2	46.6%	116	57	3	46.6%	73	39	2	50.7%
Belle Plaine PD <sup>6</sup>	9	7	0	77.8%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	5	5	0	100.0%
Mulvane PD	23	13	1	52.2%	34	29	2	79.4%	32	23	1	68.8%
Caldwell PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	1	1	0	100.0%	2	2	0	100.0%
Conway Springs PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0	0	NA	3	3	1	66.7%
Oxford PD	8	1	0	12.5%	5	0	0	0.0%	1	0	0	0.0%
Argonia PD	2	1	0	50.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Kansas Star Casino <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>54.8%</b>
Thomas County SO <sup>6</sup>	11	5	0	45.5%	4	3	0	75.0%	7	6	0	85.7%
Colby PD	32	32	5	84.4%	45	40	7	73.3%	37	30	3	73.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>75.0%</b>
Trego County SO	1	1	0	100.0%	3	2	0	66.7%	0	0	0	NA
Wakeeey PD <sup>3</sup>	5	5	1	80.0%	2	2	0	100.0%	9	7	0	77.8%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>77.8%</b>



# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
Wabauunsee County SO	12	7	0	58.3%	17	10	1	52.9%	24	11	1	41.7%
Alma PD <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Eskridge PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Maple Hill PD <sup>3</sup>	1	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>41.7%</b>
Wallace County SO <sup>3</sup>	2	2	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%	1	1	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Washington County SO	8	7	0	87.5%	6	6	0	100.0%	19	17	2	78.9%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>78.9%</b>
Wichita County SO	11	10	0	90.9%	4	4	1	75.0%	4	4	0	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Wilson County SO	10	9	0	90.0%	13	10	0	76.9%	15	13	1	80.0%
Fredonia PD	20	14	2	60.0%	6	6	1	83.3%	25	19	4	60.0%
Neodesha PD	17	16	0	94.1%	16	16	4	75.0%	18	18	4	77.8%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>78.7%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>70.7%</b>
Woodson County SO <sup>6</sup>	8	4	0	50.0%	7	4	0	57.1%	8	6	0	75.0%
Yates Center PD	6	5	1	66.7%	8	4	0	50.0%	8	3	0	37.5%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56.3%</b>
Wyandotte County SO	33	16	1	45.5%	26	9	0	34.6%	26	11	2	34.6%
Bonner Springs PD <sup>6</sup>	38	0	0	0.0%	26	26	1	96.2%	58	28	3	43.1%
Kansas City PD <sup>5</sup>	1,417	657	0	46.4%	1,524	422	22	26.2%	1,487	695	35	44.4%
Edwardsville PD	59	50	4	78.0%	80	69	11	72.5%	61	44	3	67.2%
KU Med Center PD	13	11	1	76.9%	9	4	0	44.4%	11	6	0	54.5%
KCK Community College PD	0	0	0	NA	6	4	0	66.7%	0	0	0	NA
KCK USD #500 PD	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	1	0	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,560</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>1,671</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>1,644</b>	<b>784</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>45.1%</b>

# Reported DV Incidents By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Incidents	2017 Arrests	2017 Dual Arrests	2017 Arrest Percentage	2016 Incidents	2016 Arrests	2016 Dual Arrests	2016 Arrest Percentage	2015 Incidents	2015 Arrests	2015 Dual Arrests	2015 Arrest Percentage
<b>State Agencies/Tribal</b>												
Kansas Bureau of Investigation	2	0	0	0.0%	3	2	0	66.7%	1	0	0	0.0%
Kansas Wildlife and Parks	7	3	0	42.9%	5	6	1	100.0%	6	2	0	33.3%
Kansas Highway Patrol	22	18	2	72.7%	27	26	2	88.9%	38	39	2	97.4%
State Fire Marshal	8	7	0	87.5%	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Sac & Fox Tribal PD <sup>4,7</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Iowa Tribal PD <sup>3,7</sup>	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA
Kickapoo Tribal PD <sup>4,7</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Potawatomi Tribal PD <sup>6,7</sup>	8	7	0	87.5%	11	13	3	90.9%	10	11	1	100.0%
<b>Total State/Tribal</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>89.1%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>89.1%</b>
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>22,708</b>	<b>12,210</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>49.9%</b>	<b>23,004</b>	<b>12,142</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>22,712</b>	<b>12,407</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>50.9%</b>

- 1) Data collected is based on information entered into KIBRS by July 30, 2018.
- 2) Agency went to covered by status where reports are submitted through another agency.
- 3) Agency submitted incomplete data for the current year.
- 4) Agency did not report minimum of 3 months data for the current year.
- 5) Agency reported summary data for 2017. (Summary data is defined on page 5).
- 6) Agency had an overall decline of over 30% in the number of submissions for 2017.
- 7) Tribal Police only submit reports for crimes involving non-tribe members.

# Domestic Violence Victim/Offender Characteristics

## Victim (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)


Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	Percent of Total
Under 10	144	148	0	292	209	76	2	1	4	292	1.5%
10-14	92	69	0	161	122	35	3	0	1	161	0.8%
15-19	868	295	0	1,163	926	218	3	11	5	1,163	5.8%
20-24	2,374	906	0	3,280	2,514	707	18	30	11	3,280	16.3%
25-29	2,494	920	0	3,414	2,691	664	20	32	7	3,414	17.0%
30-34	2,116	872	0	2,988	2,433	517	12	21	5	2,988	14.9%
35-39	1,585	716	0	2,301	1,902	347	16	25	11	2,301	11.5%
40-44	1,229	558	0	1,787	1,515	241	8	19	4	1,787	8.9%
45-49	979	474	0	1,453	1,236	198	6	12	1	1,453	7.2%
50-54	715	439	0	1,154	935	196	12	10	1	1,154	5.8%
55-59	509	374	0	883	698	173	5	6	1	883	4.4%
60-64	278	240	0	518	438	73	1	5	1	518	2.6%
65-69	154	128	0	282	242	34	2	3	1	282	1.4%
70-74	79	76	0	155	139	14	1	1	0	155	0.8%
75 Plus	86	70	0	156	141	12	2	1	0	156	0.8%
Unknown	17	49	4	70	47	4	0	1	18	70	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13,719</b>	<b>6,334</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20,057</b>	<b>16,188</b>	<b>3,509</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>20,057</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>31.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>80.7%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>		

## Suspect (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)


Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	Percent of Total
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
10-14	7	8	0	15	12	3	0	0	0	15	0.1%
15-19	376	563	0	939	695	234	2	6	2	939	5.1%
20-24	973	2,095	0	3,068	2,259	763	16	28	2	3,068	16.6%
25-29	1,030	2,458	1	3,489	2,574	881	13	17	4	3,489	18.9%
30-34	847	2,215	0	3,062	2,391	636	12	18	5	3,062	16.6%
35-39	665	1,841	0	2,506	2,000	473	14	16	3	2,506	13.6%
40-44	476	1,199	0	1,675	1,354	299	7	13	2	1,675	9.1%
45-49	363	970	0	1,333	1,051	260	11	7	4	1,333	7.2%
50-54	259	771	0	1,030	773	240	8	8	1	1,030	5.6%
55-59	167	481	0	648	493	143	5	6	1	648	3.5%
60-64	75	279	0	354	268	79	3	2	2	354	1.9%
65-69	31	92	0	123	89	31	0	3	0	123	0.7%
70-74	24	35	0	59	50	8	0	1	0	59	0.3%
75 Plus	19	40	0	59	49	8	1	1	0	59	0.3%
Unknown	25	55	8	88	43	26	0	0	19	88	0.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,337</b>	<b>13,102</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18,448</b>	<b>14,101</b>	<b>4,084</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>18,448</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>		<b>76.4%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>		

# Domestic Violence Relationship of Victim to Offender


Relationship <sup>12</sup>	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Spouse	4,231	15.03%	4,355	14.35%	4,158	14.43%
Common Law Spouse	359	1.27%	351	1.16%	454	1.58%
Parent	1,816	6.45%	1,804	5.95%	1,702	5.91%
Child	889	3.16%	971	3.20%	923	3.20%
Sibling	763	2.71%	943	3.11%	795	2.76%
Grandparent	134	0.48%	128	0.42%	107	0.37%
Grandchild	51	0.18%	41	0.14%	58	0.20%
In-Law	176	0.62%	162	0.53%	238	0.83%
Step-parent	165	0.59%	190	0.63%	202	0.70%
Step-child	167	0.59%	172	0.57%	200	0.69%
Step-sibling	15	0.05%	29	0.10%	20	0.07%
Foster Parent	6	0.02%	10	0.03%	9	0.03%
Foster Child	2	0.01%	4	0.01%	4	0.01%
Foster Sibling	7	0.02%	1	0.00%	2	0.01%
Other Family Member	332	1.18%	306	1.01%	349	1.21%
Acquaintance	631	2.24%	746	2.46%	735	2.55%
Friend	78	0.28%	93	0.31%	117	0.41%
Roommates	1,263	4.49%	1,261	4.16%	1,140	3.96%
Neighbor	34	0.12%	35	0.12%	28	0.10%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	7,970	28.30%	8,998	29.66%	8,701	30.20%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	3,786	13.45%	4,030	13.28%	3,151	10.94%
Child of Boyfriend/Girlfriend	119	0.42%	140	0.46%	112	0.39%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	147	0.52%	163	0.54%	98	0.34%
Homosexual Relationship	426	1.51%	395	1.30%	346	1.20%
Ex-Spouse	849	3.02%	892	2.94%	935	3.25%
Employer/Employee/Coworker	4	0.01%	10	0.03%	2	0.01%
Otherwise Known	427	1.52%	521	1.72%	604	2.10%
Victim was Suspect	2,884	10.24%	3,028	9.98%	2,951	10.24%
Relationship Unknown	134	0.48%	269	0.89%	387	1.34%
Stranger	293	1.04%	293	0.97%	284	0.99%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>28,158</b>		<b>30,341</b>		<b>28,812</b>	



Significant Other	17,621	69.7%
Family Member	4,789	19.0%
Otherwise Known	2,437	9.6%
Stranger/Unknown	427	1.7%



Spouse/Ex	5,439	30.9%
Dating/Ex	11,756	66.7%
Homosexual	426	2.4%



Parent	1,987	51.9%
Child	1,058	27.6%
Sibling	785	20.5%


1) Relationships are counted for each victim to each offender and may exceed the incidents reported.

2) Not all summary agencies reported this field

# Domestic Violence Location of Incident


Location*	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Parking Lot/Garage	262	1.2%	322	1.4%	340	1.5%
Street	919	4.3%	1,086	4.8%	1,158	5.1%
Alley	28	0.1%	28	0.1%	46	0.2%
Highway	101	0.5%	123	0.5%	119	0.5%
Rural Road	77	0.4%	63	0.3%	67	0.3%
Vacant City Lot/Abandoned Structure	14	0.1%	12	0.1%	16	0.1%
Single Residence	13,094	61.8%	13,888	60.9%	13,884	61.3%
Multiple Residence	5,068	23.9%	5,522	24.2%	5,371	23.7%
Nursing Care Facility	39	0.2%	38	0.0%	35	0.2%
Dormitory/Sorority/Fraternity	17	0.1%	28	0.1%	12	0.1%
College/University/Propriety School	19	0.1%	25	0.1%	18	0.1%
All Other Schools	56	0.3%	44	0.2%	36	0.2%
Church/Synagogue/Temple	16	0.1%	15	0.1%	17	0.1%
Government/Tax-Payer Funded Bldg.	91	0.4%	87	0.4%	94	0.4%
Jail/Prison	37	0.2%	26	0.1%	30	0.1%
Hospital	66	0.3%	69	0.3%	56	0.2%
Pharmacy/Doctor's Office	11	0.1%	6	0.0%	17	0.1%
Bank/Savings and Loan/ATM	16	0.1%	75	0.3%	19	0.1%
Commercial Office Building	110	0.5%	103	0.5%	119	0.5%
Service/Gas Station	46	0.2%	44	0.2%	37	0.2%
Convenience Store	114	0.5%	116	0.5%	100	0.4%
Department/Discount Store	83	0.4%	90	0.4%	99	0.4%
Grocery/Supermarket	30	0.1%	46	0.2%	43	0.2%
Specialty Store	50	0.2%	67	0.3%	56	0.2%
Mall Commons	10	0.1%	8	0.0%	8	0.0%
Tavern/Bar/Liquor Store	100	0.5%	104	0.5%	126	0.6%
Restaurant	108	0.5%	158	0.7%	124	0.5%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	394	1.9%	399	1.8%	387	1.7%
Storage/Warehouse	5	0.0%	14	0.1%	5	0.0%
Park Buildings/Shelters	8	0.0%	4	0.0%	17	0.1%
Open Area	81	0.4%	79	0.3%	80	0.4%
Lake/Waterway/River	10	0.1%	10	0.0%	13	0.1%
Other	66	0.3%	58	0.3%	82	0.4%
Casino	10	0.0%	17	0.1%	12	0.1%
Recreational Facility	21	0.1%	21	0.1%	22	0.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21,179</b>		<b>22,795</b>		<b>22,665</b>	

## Residential




Single	13,094	71.9%
Multiple	5,124	28.1%

## Hospitality



Hotel/Motel	394	65.5%
Tavern/Bar/Liquor	100	16.6%
Restaurant	108	17.9%

## Public Place



Business	755	25.5%
Open /Streets	1,521	51.4%
Hospitality	612	20.7%
Other	73	2.4%

\* Not all agencies reported a location for all incidents





# Domestic Violence Weapon Used

## Weapon Used

Weapon Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Firearm	106	0.55%	106	0.47%	107	0.49%
Handgun	288	1.49%	352	1.58%	321	1.46%
Rifle	28	0.14%	38	0.17%	31	0.14%
Shotgun	30	0.16%	31	0.14%	39	0.18%
Knife/Other Cutting Instrument	612	3.17%	708	3.17%	654	2.98%
Blunt Object	358	1.85%	375	1.68%	374	1.70%
Motor Vehicle	181	0.94%	206	0.92%	210	0.96%
Personal Weapons (Hands/Fist/Feet)	13,774	71.30%	16,129	72.20%	15,743	71.67%
Poison	2	0.01%	2	0.01%	1	0.00%
Explosive	1	0.01%	5	0.02%	6	0.03%
Fire/Incendiary Device	16	0.08%	40	0.18%	29	0.13%
Drugs/Narcotics	3	0.02%	3	0.01%	0	0.00%
Asphyxiation	28	0.14%	19	0.09%	20	0.09%
Other Weapons	1,092	5.65%	1,156	5.18%	1,147	5.22%
None	2,373	12.28%	2,352	10.53%	2,766	12.59%
Unknown	427	2.21%	816	3.65%	519	2.36%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19,319</b>		<b>22,338</b>		<b>21,967</b>	

Not all offenses require a weapon to be listed

Not all agencies reported a weapon for all incidents



# Domestic Violence


## Type of Injury

### Type of Injury

Injury Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Broken Bones	101	0.6%	100	0.5%	110	0.5%
Possible Internal Injuries	122	0.7%	135	0.7%	99	0.5%
Severe Laceration	177	1.0%	141	0.7%	168	0.8%
Apparent Minor Injuries	7,978	44.2%	8,801	43.9%	8,966	43.2%
Other Major Injury	101	0.6%	101	0.5%	94	0.5%
Loss of Teeth	24	0.1%	24	0.1%	22	0.1%
Unconsciousness	81	0.4%	88	0.4%	85	0.4%
None	9,458	52.4%	10,651	53.1%	11,201	54.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>18,042</b>		<b>20,041</b>		<b>20,745</b>	

Not all reported crimes require this data element

### Domestic Violence: Type of Injury 3yr Trend




# Domestic Violence Day of Occurrence

## Day of the Week: Offense

Day	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Sunday	3,683	17.3%	3,947	17.2%	4,004	17.6%
Monday	2,793	13.1%	3,095	13.5%	2,985	13.1%
Tuesday	2,885	13.6%	3,087	13.4%	2,973	13.1%
Wednesday	2,837	13.3%	2,953	12.8%	2,816	12.4%
Thursday	2,685	12.6%	3,023	13.1%	2,987	13.2%
Friday	2,908	13.7%	3,167	13.8%	3,104	13.7%
Saturday	3,500	16.4%	3,732	16.2%	3,843	16.9%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21,291</b>		<b>23,004</b>		<b>22,712</b>	

This data element was not reported by all summary agencies

## Domestic Violence: Day of Occurrence 3yr Trend






# Domestic Violence Time of Incident

## Time of Offense


Time	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
00:00—01:59	2,065	9.7%	2,301	10.0%	2,361	10.4%
02:00—03:59	1,780	8.4%	1,945	8.5%	1,944	8.6%
04:00—05:59	1,268	6.0%	1,421	6.2%	1,381	6.1%
06:00—07:59	1,538	7.2%	1,542	6.7%	1,475	6.5%
08:00—09:59	1,994	9.4%	2,240	9.7%	2,067	9.1%
10:00—11:59	2,180	10.2%	2,449	10.6%	2,307	10.2%
12:00—13:59	1,908	9.0%	1,979	8.6%	2,015	8.9%
14:00—15:59	1,317	6.2%	1,348	5.9%	1,359	6.0%
16:00—17:59	1,600	7.5%	1,691	7.4%	1,699	7.5%
18:00—19:59	1,853	8.7%	1,882	8.2%	2,024	8.9%
20:00—21:59	1,925	9.0%	2,192	9.5%	2,130	9.4%
22:00—23:59	1,753	8.2%	1,974	8.6%	1,944	8.6%
Unknown	110	0.5%	40	0.2%	6	<0.1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>21,291</b>		<b>23,004</b>		<b>22,712</b>	

This data element was not reported by all summary agencies


## Shift 3yr Trend



## 2017 Percentage



## Time of Day



# Domestic Violence Statute Violations

Statute <sup>1</sup>	Description	2017	2016	2015
08-1543	Pedestrian under the influence of alcohol or drugs	0	3	4
08-1566	Reckless Driving	0	6	4
08-1567	Driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs	14	12	12
08-1568	Fleeing or attempting to elude a law enforcement officer	1	7	7
08-1599	Transporting an open container	1	5	10
08-1602	Failure to stop at an accident	2	0	0
21-5402/5401	Murder in the first degree <sup>2</sup> includes capital murder	31	21	25
21-5403	Murder in the second degree <sup>2</sup>	14	9	2
21-5404	Voluntary Manslaughter <sup>2</sup>	0	1	0
21-5405	Involuntary Manslaughter <sup>2</sup>	0	1	1
21-5412a	Assault	415	506	442
21-5412c	Assault on a law enforcement officer	23	28	21
21-5412b	Aggravated assault	889	991	826
21-5412d	Aggravated assault on a law enforcement officer	12	12	9
21-5413	Battery	531	748	635
21-5414a1B1	Domestic Battery; intentional bodily harm caused by a family member	3,758	4,533	4,554
21-5414a2B1	Domestic Battery; physical contact by a family member in a rude manner	9,080	9,776	9,658
21-5414 b	Aggravated Domestic Battery	112	0	0
21-5413c & d	Battery against a criminal justice officer	92	106	108
21-5413b	Aggravated battery	280	240	270
21-5413b1A	Aggravated battery; intentional, great bodily harm	165	172	163
21-5413b1B	Aggravated battery; intentional, bodily harm	631	557	410
21-5413b1C	Aggravated battery; intentional, physical contact	91	102	110
21-5413b2A	Aggravated battery; reckless, great bodily harm	29	31	34
21-5413b2B	Aggravated battery; reckless, bodily harm	22	25	13
21-5415	Terroristic/Criminal threat	1,229	1,174	1,194
21-5408a	Kidnapping	54	56	50
21-5408b	Aggravated kidnapping	55	68	44
21-5409	Interference with parental custody	21	18	16
21-5411	Criminal restraint	594	525	444
21-5420a	Robbery	32	43	18
21-5420b	Aggravated robbery	23	33	7
21-5417	Mistreatment of a dependent adult; physical	4	5	7
21-5427 (all)	Stalking	220	219	188

# Domestic Violence Statute Violations

Statute <sup>1</sup>	Description	2017	2016	2015
21-5503	Rape; unknown circumstances	94	100	104
21-5503a1A	Rape; sexual intercourse with a person who does not consent; force	21	44	45
21-5503a1B	Rape; Sexual intercourse with physically powerless victim	5	5	3
21-5503a2	Rape; Sexual intercourse with mentally deficient victim	2	1	0
21-5506b/b3	Aggravated indecent liberties with a child	1	4	3
21-5504	Criminal sodomy	5	7	12
21-5504b	Aggravated criminal sodomy	2	7	4
21-5504b1/b2	Aggravated criminal sodomy; sodomy with a child <14 years of age	5	0	6
21-5504b3A-C	Aggravated criminal sodomy; With person/animal by force	0	14	3
21-5505a	Sexual battery	18	15	24
21-5505b	Aggravated sexual battery	6	10	8
21-5601	Endangering a child; Involves child <18 years of age	121	123	100
21-5602	Abuse of a child; <18 years of age; Intent torture/cruel beating/inhuman punish	14	29	21
21-5607	Furnishing alcohol to a minor	0	2	0
21-5428a1	Blackmail; Statement to cause public ridicule	5	3	2
21-5801/5802	Theft	510	539	488
21-5803	Criminal deprivation of property	94	107	89
21-5807	Burglary	59	59	58
21-5807b	Aggravated burglary	79	107	78
21-5828	Criminal use of a financial card	19	27	24
21-5812a	Arson	19	23	26
21-5812b	Aggravated arson	11	16	12
21-5813	Criminal damage to property	3,949	4,135	3,939
21-5808	Criminal trespass	253	231	237
21-5904a2/ 21-5913	Obstructing legal process or official duty	174	40	47
21-5904a1	Falsely reporting a crime	2	6	12
21-5917	False impersonation	1	1	3
21-5909a	Intimidation of a witness or victim	46	26	39
21-5909b	Aggravated intimidation of a witness or victim	9	9	9
21-6206	Harassment by telecom device	536	525	571
21-5924	Violation of protective order	430	308	564
21-5924a1	Violation of protective order issued from abuse	419	734	402
21-5924a2/3/4	Violation of protective order; restraining order; pre trial order	278	294	204

# Domestic Violence Statute Violations

<b>Statute<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
21-5924a5	Violation of protective order issued by court post disposition	10	24	20
21-5924a6	Violation of protective order issued from stalking	105	110	62
21-6101	Breach of privacy; disseminate sexual media of person 18+ yrs	4	7	1
21-6107	Identity Theft	13	0	0
21-6203	Disorderly conduct	74	105	141
21-6203a1	Disorderly conduct; brawling and fighting	147	103	167
21-6203a3	Disorderly conduct; offensive language, noisy conduct	560	582	591
21-6302	Criminal Carrying of a weapon	4	6	5
21-6301	Criminal use of a weapon	9	17	17
21-6305	Aggravated weapons violation	4	4	4
21-6304	Criminal possession of firearm	35	30	30
21-6308	Unlawful discharge of firearms	25	15	20
21-6412	Cruelty to animals	4	5	0
Drug Offense <sup>3</sup>	Drug Offenses	544	444	418
Other	Other	16	78	264
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>27,228</b>	<b>29,176</b>	<b>28,194</b>

- 1) There are instances where multiple statutes are reported within one domestic incident
- 2) Homicides that involve victims under the age of 18 are included in this number
- 3) All drug offenses are combined for this report
- 4) Attempted offenses are included in this report

# Protection from Abuse Act

## K.S.A. 60-3101 through 60-3112

The Kansas Legislature created the Protection from Abuse Act (PFA) in 1979. According to the statute, *“this act shall be liberally construed to promote the protection of victims of domestic violence from bodily injury or threats of bodily injury and to facilitate access to judicial protection for the victims, whether represented by counsel or proceeding pro se.”*

A protection order is a court order for the purpose of preventing further violent or threatening behavior. The PFA instructs a specific individual to refrain from having contact with another individual. Any district court shall have jurisdiction over the proceedings in obtaining an order. A person who is seeking relief under this act may obtain a petition from the clerk of the district court or from a domestic violence program.

A person seeking relief under this act must have lived with the abuser either presently or in the past or have a child in common. A parent or an adult residing with a minor child may seek a petition on behalf of the minor child. As of July 1, 2002, persons in dating relationships also can apply for a protection order. Once an order is filed with the court a temporary order is issued and a hearing is set within 20 days. The final order can be issued for up to one year. No more than two orders can be filed within one year.


The 2006 Kansas Legislature passed two bills designed to assist those in need of protective orders. The first bill fills the gap of previous statutes and considers a violation of the PFA act to make contact while incarcerated. The second, took effect January 1, 2007, and allows persons attempting to escape a domestic violence situation to obtain a confidential address from the Secretary of State’s office to use as a substitute legal mailing address.

All temporary, amended, and final PFA orders are entered into the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) Protection Order File. This database is another tool to assist law enforcement officers in verifying the existence of an order quickly in order to provide better enforcement. However, an order does not need to be entered into NCIC in order to be enforced.

The following information reflects the number of PFA orders filed with Kansas district courts and reported to the Kansas Office of Judicial Administration.

## PFA Filings

Year	Filings	Percent of Change
1997	7,968	6.16%
1998	7,361	-7.62%
1999	7,691	4.48%
2000	7,300	5.08%
2001	8,140	11.51%
2002	8,548	5.01%
2003	7,672	-10.25%
2004	7,914	3.15%
2005	8,222	3.89%
2006	7,942	-3.41%
2007	7,903	-0.01%
2008	7,963	0.01%
2009	8,391	5.38%
2010	8,548	1.87%
2011	8,238	-3.63%
2012	8,422	2.23%
2013	7,838	-6.93%
2014	7,861	0.29%
2015	8,367	6.44%
2016	8,361	-0.07%
2017	8,474	1.35%



# Protection From Abuse Filings by County

County	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Allen	11	29	34	25	20	33	45	32	39	51	45	55	37	42	38	34	39
Anderson	42	38	43	37	40	37	30	31	34	31	21	28	26	28	19	21	23
Atchison	24	21	32	34	37	29	24	32	45	35	35	47	44	45	47	53	40
Barber	7	6	4	5	13	14	12	9	4	6	10	17	18	13	5	10	8
Barton	104	105	106	84	113	87	112	95	112	96	116	84	94	106	94	76	64
Bourbon	40	50	24	14	23	21	26	19	27	38	40	32	29	19	30	21	15
Brown	50	43	57	45	47	22	32	28	35	30	26	30	32	44	34	26	46
Butler	130	139	121	121	114	116	115	151	136	122	105	134	128	103	128	110	149
Chase	9	15	9	12	7	4	10	10	11	5	4	4	3	6	4	6	6
Chautauqua	21	10	15	16	9	19	23	18	21	12	12	13	6	8	6	8	8
Cherokee	34	42	43	52	37	31	32	35	45	35	40	31	37	35	40	27	44
Cheyenne	9	10	15	3	3	2	3	4	3	5	4	3	3	3	9	5	11
Clark	4	4	2	2	1	3	0	3	4	1	2	4	3	2	0	0	1
Clay	18	12	13	26	28	28	20	28	26	27	24	20	21	21	20	12	28
Cloud	28	26	23	25	24	20	22	23	25	18	16	15	20	18	15	14	19
Coffey	15	16	13	18	32	19	20	28	30	39	29	24	31	15	25	27	23
Comanche	4	3	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	4	3	4	7	7	7	1
Cowley	88	95	82	93	86	124	116	122	93	84	90	116	87	111	116	128	134
Crawford	72	105	98	92	93	93	98	101	118	132	127	112	131	115	159	195	175
Decatur	7	5	3	9	7	10	9	5	7	5	10	10	10	6	13	10	10
Dickinson	56	70	66	53	59	62	52	58	61	76	61	87	51	55	63	59	55
Doniphan	12	19	23	28	14	11	13	12	16	8	12	7	11	6	10	13	8
Douglas	297	328	258	213	230	232	215	266	295	296	310	330	328	358	345	348	367
Edwards	6	10	4	8	4	8	7	8	5	6	5	3	3	2	3	9	7
Elk	13	8	12	8	10	10	6	6	7	3	4	4	0	4	2	2	1
Ellis	40	54	53	62	55	41	33	5	29	38	42	48	38	51	58	59	47

# Protection From Abuse Filings by County

County	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Ellsworth	4	5	13	6	10	7	13	0	14	19	18	17	15	22	11	17	8
Finney	67	91	68	59	52	54	36	36	56	72	53	70	55	70	68	58	52
Ford	118	91	58	53	56	77	59	49	38	44	43	50	72	28	34	42	29
Franklin	81	124	116	132	142	109	121	155	121	113	106	99	113	91	89	128	122
Geary	194	159	117	174	134	151	137	128	155	162	133	156	134	148	154	149	184
Gove	1	1	2	0	3	2	0	0	9	6	4	0	1	2	3	0	2
Graham	6	3	2	1	5	4	5	4	4	2	4	5	4	5	8	5	5
Grant	9	4	20	27	14	6	21	19	19	20	15	15	14	16	30	9	10
Gray	7	4	1	1	5	6	4	4	0	5	7	7	4	3	2	1	0
Greeley	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
Greenwood	4	12	6	13	6	11	2	8	15	8	10	13	9	10	10	14	13
Hamilton	4	5	6	6	8	9	14	4	3	9	8	5	4	7	2	2	2
Harper	28	37	26	7	9	15	18	10	8	9	9	4	10	4	8	13	10
Harvey	97	60	14	36	80	66	65	56	85	98	91	109	110	96	97	74	86
Haskell	0	0	5	2	2	5	5	1	5	2	5	5	2	5	3	3	4
Hodgeman	1	2	7	2	4	3	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	5
Jackson	35	59	42	33	35	39	32	27	28	30	27	30	30	25	24	21	13
Jefferson	34	53	63	31	36	36	40	52	38	49	42	34	41	27	46	33	24
Jewell	4	2	8	5	4	2	3	1	4	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	4
Johnson	846	852	872	772	814	773	727	786	774	790	698	764	797	732	774	800	725
Kearny	12	9	7	8	7	4	4	6	6	4	4	7	4	3	4	2	2
Kingman	13	15	9	21	16	16	14	13	14	15	15	14	12	4	9	6	9
Kiowa	4	4	4	6	7	3	2	2	6	6	3	2	2	3	4	2	0
Labette	41	57	34	43	41	31	30	45	51	29	37	22	36	30	39	40	48
Lane	4	6	2	3	4	5	3	2	1	3	1	2	3	0	0	0	5
Leavenworth	359	350	234	242	289	270	284	253	266	258	234	271	241	244	220	253	274

# Protection From Abuse Filings by County

County	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Lincoln	5	6	6	5	2	4	8	4	4	5	2	2	1	2	4	3	1	10
Linn	42	25	35	29	35	32	32	22	37	22	12	26	19	18	16	25	41	
Logan	8	11	12	11	7	5	5	6	9	9	4	6	9	7	4	13	7	
Lyon	86	104	101	90	90	85	54	69	59	74	77	65	65	99	71	113	77	
Marion	28	73	19	26	35	21	27	30	18	36	21	26	26	17	21	40	39	
Marshall	30	27	16	18	21	16	16	22	19	17	11	20	17	16	13	10	14	
McPherson	69	14	67	66	51	61	54	62	52	52	51	58	24	37	34	40	41	
Meade	1	1	1	1	4	3	4	5	2	4	3	1	4	2	1	4	1	
Miami	147	99	112	133	97	76	84	74	56	73	75	82	80	76	106	108	74	
Mitchell	8	10	14	7	8	2	4	5	7	1	5	8	11	10	5	7	6	
Montgomery	55	60	73	75	66	10	49	72	77	78	84	69	88	77	118	98	101	
Morris	9	13	19	21	12	0	0	9	12	7	12	11	6	7	9	4	6	
Morton	2	13	15	8	9	10	5	5	7	5	5	9	5	5	3	5	1	
Nemaha	15	25	11	18	15	13	8	14	21	8	12	21	20	11	17	11	10	
Neosho	42	32	49	45	28	23	25	42	36	53	42	43	35	39	44	41	43	
Ness	3	7	3	3	8	8	8	7	2	6	3	7	3	6	1	3	6	
Norton	12	10	12	8	5	4	3	9	10	8	12	6	16	15	19	9	10	
Osage	63	61	54	56	60	81	76	55	77	80	106	96	57	63	50	57	48	
Osborne	2	6	7	10	7	5	2	4	3	5	3	2	5	4	8	6	10	
Ottawa	10	9	7	10	9	9	1	11	5	11	10	8	3	10	6	1	3	
Pawnee	27	15	33	24	34	20	18	26	31	28	21	20	17	26	19	13	13	
Phillips	17	7	11	11	15	19	16	9	12	11	5	24	19	12	24	13	15	
Pottawatomie	46	55	47	60	61	40	44	47	37	51	43	47	43	50	44	54	31	
Pratt	15	25	32	19	35	25	22	28	37	34	32	31	41	29	27	28	25	
Rawlins	0	4	0	3	1	5	1	2	3	3	4	5	3	2	3	4	1	
Reno	316	351	294	286	336	234	262	268	234	234	224	197	163	173	197	219	242	
Republic	10	6	3	10	3	8	9	4	8	5	4	4	3	8	5	10	4	



# Protection From Abuse Filings by County

County	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rice	20	38	32	33	41	30	45	23	31	30	30	21	26	17	16	19	20
Riley	130	86	92	124	122	114	125	147	145	131	153	135	112	134	138	148	183
Rooks	10	9	5	7	7	6	6	5	11	13	11	18	10	18	16	13	6
Rush	3	7	1	3	1	3	2	7	4	4	6	5	6	10	4	3	10
Russell	23	26	24	13	14	27	24	23	16	34	31	24	23	19	16	19	23
Saline	297	294	271	275	306	295	315	249	285	260	246	286	219	239	272	275	260
Scott	6	4	5	4	4	6	7	8	6	3	3	9	7	7	6	6	7
Sedgwick	1,406	1,637	1,746	1,923	2,025	2,156	2,068	2,147	2,317	2,247	2,332	2,315	2,174	2,299	2,416	2,419	2,462
Seward	56	68	75	75	43	29	48	49	60	53	40	37	32	27	39	29	26
Shawnee	803	716	628	771	814	808	836	817	760	1007	870	913	810	747	805	685	722
Sheridan	3	5	3	1	3	2	4	4	4	2	3	8	3	2	6	1	3
Sherman	13	20	15	11	26	17	14	10	28	20	27	16	23	29	10	21	26
Smith	6	10	10	7	12	8	8	6	9	4	5	12	1	7	6	2	8
Stafford	9	6	12	12	9	6	9	16	18	6	10	11	11	2	7	6	13
Stanton	1	1	2	2	3	2	3	1	5	6	4	3	2	4	9	4	4
Stevens	8	5	3	8	12	7	9	6	4	6	11	7	16	9	9	4	13
Sumner	62	57	79	71	65	66	79	63	72	42	49	71	55	40	39	36	38
Thomas	18	28	15	17	29	16	33	16	32	16	28	17	24	28	20	22	22
Trego	3	2	2	4	5	2	0	2	4	2	1	4	2	5	3	2	3
Wabausee	14	9	9	17	8	10	7	7	10	9	9	5	11	17	12	11	9
Wallace	5	5	0	5	4	3	2	0	3	1	0	1	5	4	2	3	4
Washington	2	3	5	8	7	6	7	7	7	11	7	4	6	4	6	4	2
Wichita	2	1	3	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	1	1
Wilson	8	12	18	11	18	22	19	22	15	33	24	26	20	16	21	18	21
Woodson	6	3	5	8	8	8	8	4	8	8	6	9	3	5	7	7	4
Wyandotte	1,041	1,148	678	689	685	669	659	613	708	718	680	605	532	547	680	710	739
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>8,140</b>	<b>8,548</b>	<b>7,672</b>	<b>7,914</b>	<b>8,222</b>	<b>7,942</b>	<b>7,903</b>	<b>7,963</b>	<b>8,391</b>	<b>8,548</b>	<b>8,238</b>	<b>8,422</b>	<b>7,838</b>	<b>7,861</b>	<b>8,367</b>	<b>8,361</b>	<b>8,474</b>

# Violation of Protective Order by County

County <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017	County <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017	County <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017
Allen	5	2	3	Greeley	0	0	0	Osborne	0	0	0
Anderson	4	3	8	Greenwood	0	2	0	Ottawa	1	3	2
Atchison	2	4	0	Hamilton	2	0	2	Pawnee	3	7	3
Barber	0	2	0	Harper	0	1	1	Phillips	0	1	0
Barton	24	17	11	Harvey	59	106	66	Pottawatomie	13	19	5
Bourbon	9	5	6	Haskell	0	0	0	Pratt	2	10	4
Brown	3	3	7	Hodgeman	0	1	1	Rawlins	0	0	1
Butler	26	56	50	Jackson	8	13	1	Reno	65	81	99
Chase	0	0	1	Jefferson	18	21	7	Republic	0	0	1
Chautauqua	0	0	0	Jewell	DNR	DNR	DNR	Rice	2	0	1
Cherokee	11	10	7	Johnson <sup>1</sup>	54	55	44	Riley	0	0	4
Cheyenne	1	0	0	Kearny	1	0	0	Rooks	1	0	0
Clark	0	1	0	Kingman	2	2	2	Rush	0	3	7
Clay	2	0	3	Kiowa	0	1	2	Russell	3	5	1
Cloud	6	4	3	Labette	22	12	4	Saline	144	182	193
Coffey	5	3	0	Lane	0	1	2	Scott	0	1	5
Comanche	DNR	DNR	1	Leavenworth	65	86	65	Sedgwick <sup>2</sup>	57	82	55
Cowley	28	27	24	Lincoln	0	0	0	Seward	12	1	1
Crawford	19	33	11	Linn	1	4	1	Shawnee <sup>1</sup>	1	3	5
Decatur	1	1	1	Logan	0	1	1	Sheridan	0	0	0
Dickinson	44	26	42	Lyon	0	0	0	Sherman	2	5	0
Doniphan	0	2	1	Marion	8	3	7	Smith	0	1	1
Douglas <sup>1</sup>	0	1	1	Marshall	3	0	3	Stafford	1	0	0
Edwards	0	2	0	McPherson	1	3	11	Stanton	0	0	0
Elk	0	0	0	Meade	0	0	0	Stevens	2	1	7
Ellis	30	29	19	Miami	19	21	11	Sumner	18	15	14
Ellsworth	3	2	3	Mitchell	2	1	0	Thomas	3	3	3
Finney	11	3	7	Montgomery	13	4	9	Trego	0	0	0
Ford	18	34	49	Morris	3	3	0	Wabaunsee	2	0	0
Franklin	12	10	2	Morton	0	0	0	Wallace	1	0	0
Geary	66	59	60	Nemaha	0	0	1	Washington	1	0	0
Gove	0	1	0	Neosho	21	4	2	Wichita	0	0	2
Graham	0	0	0	Ness	0	0	0	Wilson	5	1	1
Grant	4	4	4	Norton	0	3	2	Woodson	1	1	1
Gray	1	0	0	Osage	9	5	9	Wyandotte <sup>1</sup>	24	15	14

1) Summary agencies were not included because data were unavailable.

2) Wichita PD did not report any violations 3) State agencies are not included

# Protection From Stalking Act

## K.S.A. 60-31a01 through 60-31a09


The Kansas Legislature created the Protection from Stalking Act (PFS) during the 2002 session. The statute is to be “*liberally construed to protect victims of stalking and to facilitate access to judicial protection for stalking victims, whether represented by counsel or proceedings pro se.*” The PFS allows the court to refrain a defendant from harassing, telephoning, contacting, or otherwise communicating with the victim. A Protection From Stalking Order is a court order for the purpose of preventing stalking behavior against the victim. A person seeking relief under this act may obtain an order from the clerk of the district court in the county where the stalking occurred. Once an order is filed with the court a temporary order is issued and a hearing is set within 20 days. A final order may be issued for a period of one year. However, “*upon motion by the plaintiff, such a period may be extended for an additional year.*”

The following information reports the number of PFS orders filed with Kansas district courts and reported to the Kansas Office of Judicial Administration.

## Protection From Stalking Orders Number of Court Filings 2003-2017

YEAR PFA Filings

2003	2,577
2004	3,036
2005	3,405
2006	4,093
2007	4,159
2008	4,508
2009	4,756
2010	5,089
2011	5,202
2012	5,066
2013	4,679
2014	4,669
2015	4,842
2016	6,004
2017	5,645



# Protection From Stalking Order Court Filings by County

County	2015	2016	2017	County	2015	2016	2017	County	2015	2016	2017
Allen	10	18	12	Greeley	3	2	1	Osborne	5	6	5
Anderson	18	15	8	Greenwood	8	5	8	Ottawa	0	3	6
Atchison	36	44	63	Hamilton	3	0	0	Pawnee	14	9	19
Barber	6	6	1	Harper	2	4	1	Phillips	16	17	6
Barton	46	46	53	Harvey	44	65	44	Pottawatomie	35	44	55
Bourbon	3	13	16	Haskell	2	2	2	Pratt	15	13	30
Brown	12	20	27	Hodgeman	1	2	1	Rawlins	3	4	3
Butler	48	60	47	Jackson	21	9	11	Reno	74	124	113
Chase	1	7	10	Jefferson	20	26	21	Republic	7	4	3
Chautauqua	3	9	9	Jewell	2	7	4	Rice	24	21	18
Cherokee	4	10	12	Johnson	432	537	473	Riley	141	138	174
Cheyenne	4	8	14	Kearny	2	3	0	Rooks	9	12	8
Clark	0	0	0	Kingman	5	5	8	Rush	6	1	4
Clay	19	10	19	Kiowa	3	2	3	Russell	19	8	4
Cloud	4	9	6	Labette	3	15	17	Saline	199	247	196
Coffey	14	7	12	Lane	5	1	5	Scott	4	7	10
Comanche	1	3	4	Leavenworth	215	223	193	Sedgwick	1,302	1,566	1,546
Cowley	69	82	83	Lincoln	10	10	7	Seward	9	20	18
Crawford	93	144	164	Linn	23	22	36	Shawnee	464	616	456
Decatur	7	4	20	Logan	2	8	8	Sheridan	1	3	1
Dickinson	37	49	53	Lyon	49	77	67	Sherman	11	14	13
Doniphan	7	9	3	Marion	17	19	19	Smith	3	2	3
Douglas	237	22	281	Marshall	1	2	1	Stafford	2	3	2
Edwards	6	5	8	McPherson	21	31	36	Stanton	2	3	3
Elk	9	1	3	Meade	0	2	1	Stevens	11	6	13
Ellis	32	40	44	Miami	63	102	74	Sumner	22	32	24
Ellsworth	25	9	12	Mitchell	6	7	10	Thomas	14	14	16
Finney	28	34	26	Montgomery	31	40	20	Trego	0	3	4
Ford	21	30	22	Morris	13	8	8	Wabaunsee	10	9	4
Franklin	29	78	65	Morton	14	10	5	Wallace	4	3	0
Geary	70	125	86	Nemaha	22	8	13	Washington	4	3	1
Gove	2	1	6	Neosho	17	18	23	Wichita	1	0	3
Graham	2	5	2	Ness	8	8	11	Wilson	7	9	7
Grant	22	14	8	Norton	10	5	9	Woodson	2	2	5
Gray	4	1	0	Osage	34	48	49	Wyandotte	321	467	484

# Stalking

Stalking is unlike any other person crime, not only in its general characteristics, but also in the impact it has on the daily lives of victims. Many people do not take the crime of stalking seriously, while others blame the victim. Stalking is an exercise of control and power over another individual or group. The variation in stalking behavior ranges from hang-up phone calls to more direct threats toward a victim. The likelihood of injury increases when the stalking behavior escalates over time.

In 2008, the Kansas Legislature amended the definition of Stalking. This statutory change was reflected in the large increase of offenses reported since 2009.


Stalking is defined as:

(1) *“Intentionally or recklessly engaging in a course of conduct targeted at a specific person which would cause a reasonable person in the circumstances of the targeted person to fear for such person’s safety, or the safety of a member of such person’s immediate family and the targeted person is actually placed in such fear; or*

*(2) intentionally engaging in a course of conduct targeted at a specific person which the individual knows will place the targeted person in fear for such person’s safety or the safety of a member of such person’s immediate family; or*

*(3) after being served with, or otherwise provided notice of, any protective order included in K.S.A. 21-3843, prior to its repeal or K.S.A. 2011 Supp. 21-5924, and amendments thereto, that prohibits contact with a targeted person, recklessly engaging in at least one act listed in subsection (f)(1) that violates the provisions of the order and would cause a reasonable person to fear for such person’s safety, or the safety of a member of such person’s immediate family and the targeted person is actually placed in such fear.*

YEAR	Offenses	Percent Change
2004	275	15.55%
2005	242	-12.00%
2006	193	-20.25%
2007	211	9.33%
2008	281	33.18%
2009	558	98.58%
2010	592	6.09%
2011	777	31.25%
2012	713	-8.24%
2013	722	1.26%
2014	816	13.02%
2015	909	11.40%
2016*	745	-18.04%
2017*	708	-4.97%



\* does not include Kansas City, Kansas PD

# Stalking

## Victim and Offender Characteristics

### Victim (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	5	6	0	11	10	1	0	0	0	11	2.3%
10-14	9	4	0	13	11	2	0	0	0	13	2.7%
15-19	53	4	0	57	55	1	0	1	0	57	11.7%
20-24	50	8	0	58	55	2	0	1	0	58	11.9%
25-29	77	10	0	87	72	14	0	1	0	87	17.9%
30-34	49	10	0	59	54	5	0	0	0	59	12.1%
35-39	42	7	0	49	46	1	1	1	0	49	10.1%
40-44	27	7	0	34	29	4	0	1	0	34	7.0%
45-49	45	9	0	54	52	2	0	0	0	54	11.1%
50-54	28	4	0	32	32	0	0	0	0	32	6.6%
55-59	9	2	0	11	10	0	0	0	1	11	2.3%
60-64	8	4	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	12	2.5%
65-69	1	3	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	4	0.8%
70-74	3	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0.8%
75 Plus	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.2%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>486</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>83.5%</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>91.8%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>		


### Suspect (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
10-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
15-19	10	26	0	36	30	6	0	0	0	36	8.2%
20-24	8	37	0	45	40	3	0	2	0	45	10.3%
25-29	4	46	0	50	44	4	0	2	0	50	11.4%
30-34	11	59	0	70	57	13	0	0	0	70	16.0%
35-39	14	51	0	65	56	7	0	1	1	65	14.8%
40-44	3	39	0	42	33	8	0	1	0	42	9.6%
45-49	1	39	0	40	36	4	0	0	0	40	9.1%
50-54	7	25	0	32	29	3	0	0	0	32	7.3%
55-59	1	17	0	18	15	2	0	0	1	18	4.1%
60-64	2	8	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	2.3%
65-69	2	6	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	1.8%
70-74	2	2	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0.9%
75 Plus	1	2	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	0.7%
Unknown	4	4	8	16	7	0	0	0	9	16	3.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>439</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>82.2%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>		<b>84.7%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>		


# Stalking Victim to Offender Relationship

Relationship	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Spouse	63	8.73%	50	6.71%	44	7.83%
Common Law Spouse	1	0.14%	2	0.27%	2	0.36%
Parent	13	1.80%	9	1.21%	3	0.53%
Child	19	2.63%	11	1.48%	10	1.78%
Sibling	2	0.28%	2	0.27%	5	0.89%
Grandparent	0	0.00%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grandchild	2	0.28%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
In-Law	7	0.97%	4	0.54%	4	0.71%
Step-parent	0	0.00%	1	0.13%	1	0.18%
Step-child	0	0.00%	1	0.13%	1	0.18%
Other Family Member	10	1.39%	7	0.94%	2	0.36%
Acquaintance	127	17.59%	137	18.39%	106	18.86%
Friend	9	1.25%	14	1.88%	15	2.67%
Roommates	0	0.00%	6	0.81%	2	0.36%
Neighbor	18	2.49%	17	2.28%	11	1.96%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	22	3.05%	28	3.76%	34	6.05%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	219	30.33%	212	3.76%	137	24.38%
Child of Boyfriend/Girlfriend	1	0.14%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	1	0.14%	5	0.67%	0	0.0%
Homosexual Relationship	3	0.42%	8	1.07%	2	0.36%
Ex-Spouse	80	11.08%	55	7.38%	62	11.02%
Employer/Employee	4	0.55%	7	0.94%	0	0.0%
Co-Worker	7	0.97%	10	1.34%	3	0.53%
Otherwise Known	60	8.31%	77	10.34%	54	9.61%
Relationship Unknown	28	3.88%	48	6.44%	37	6.58%
Stranger	26	3.60%	34	4.56%	27	4.80%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>722</b>		<b>745</b>		<b>562</b>	


**2017 Current vs. Former Dating**




**2017 Current vs. Former Spouse**



**2017 Known vs. Unknown**



**Relationship: 3yr Trend**




\* Not all agencies provided this information


# Stalking Location of Incident

Location	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Parking Lot/Garage	15	2.18%	10	1.34%	13	2.45%
Street	44	6.40%	52	6.98%	51	9.62%
Alley	3	0.44%	1	0.13%	1	0.19%
Highway	1	0.15%	2	0.27%	2	0.38%
Rural Road	1	0.15%	0	0.00%	3	0.57%
Single Residence	396	57.56%	402	53.96%	294	55.47%
Multiple Residence	107	15.55%	114	15.30%	59	11.13%
Nursing Care Facility	5	0.73%	3	0.40%	0	0.00%
Dormitory/Sorority/Fraternity	4	0.58%	5	0.67%	3	0.57%
College/University/Propriety School	6	0.87%	10	1.34%	7	1.32%
All Other Schools	14	2.03%	16	2.15%	5	0.94%
Church/Synagogue/Temple	6	0.87%	2	0.27%	1	0.19%
Government/Tax-Payer Funded Bldg	8	1.16%	14	1.88%	13	2.45%
Jail/Prison	2	0.29%	3	0.40%	1	0.19%
Hospital	3	0.44%	4	0.54%	3	0.57%
Pharmacy/Doctor's Office	0	0.00%	2	0.27%	1	0.19%
Bank/Savings and Loan	2	0.29%	2	0.27%	4	0.75%
Commercial Office Building	14	2.03%	19	2.55%	14	2.64%
Service/Gas Station	4	0.58%	3	0.40%	4	0.75%
Convenience Store	6	0.87%	5	0.67%	9	1.70%
Department/Discount Store	11	1.60%	6	0.81%	9	1.70%
Grocery/Supermarket	4	0.58%	12	1.61%	4	0.75%
Specialty Store	1	0.15%	6	0.81%	6	1.13%
Park Building/Shelters	1	0.15%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Tavern/Bar/Liquor Store	4	0.58%	4	0.54%	1	0.19%
Restaurant	6	0.87%	14	1.88%	7	1.32%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	2	0.29%	5	0.67%	3	0.57%
Open Area	1	0.15%	1	0.13%	1	0.19%
Other	17	2.47%	22	2.95%	10	1.89%
Recreational Facility	0	0.00%	3	0.40%	1	0.19%
Storage/Warehouse	0	0.00%	2	0.27%	0	0.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>688</b>		<b>745</b>		<b>530</b>	


## Business/Residential




## Roads



## Residential



## Location: 3yr Trend



\* Not all agencies provided this information




# Stalking Day of Occurrence

## Day of the Week: Offense

Day	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Sunday	93	13.14%	88	11.81%	112	12.32%
Monday	89	12.57%	121	16.24%	148	16.29%
Tuesday	103	14.55%	111	14.90%	130	14.30%
Wednesday	107	15.11%	116	15.57%	138	15.18%
Thursday	109	15.40%	114	15.30%	135	14.85%
Friday	108	15.25%	117	15.70%	123	13.53%
Saturday	99	13.98%	78	10.47%	123	13.53%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>708</b>		<b>745</b>		<b>909</b>	

## Stalking: Day of Occurrence 3yr Trend




# Stalking Time of Incident

## Time of Offense

Time	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
00:00—01:59	65	9.18%	89	11.98%	74	8.17%
02:00—03:59	31	4.38%	22	2.96%	27	2.98%
04:00—05:59	26	3.67%	19	2.56%	26	2.87%
06:00—07:59	36	5.08%	48	6.46%	54	5.96%
08:00—09:59	79	11.16%	104	14.00%	85	9.38%
10:00—11:59	58	8.19%	53	7.13%	85	9.38%
12:00—13:59	75	10.59%	82	11.04%	124	13.69%
14:00—15:59	78	11.02%	87	11.71%	89	9.82%
16:00—17:59	64	9.04%	75	10.09%	107	11.81%
18:00—19:59	81	11.44%	54	7.27%	77	8.50%
20:00—21:59	57	8.05%	65	8.75%	89	9.82%
22:00—23:59	58	8.19%	45	6.06%	69	7.62%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>708</b>		<b>743</b>		<b>906</b>	

## Stalking: Time of Incident 3yr Trend



# Rape

## Rape: K.S.A. 21-5503

The Kansas rape statute does not distinguish the gender of a rape victim, therefore, rapes involving both male and female victims were reported by law enforcement agencies.

K.S.A. 21-5503, (a) Rape is:

(1) Knowingly engaging in sexual intercourse with a victim who does not consent to the sexual intercourse under any of the following circumstances:

- (A) When the victim is overcome by force or fear; or
- (B) when the victim is unconscious or physically powerless;

(2) Knowingly engaging in sexual intercourse with a victim when the victim is incapable of giving consent because of mental deficiency or disease, or when the victim is incapable of giving consent because of the effect of any alcoholic liquor, narcotic, drug or other substance, which condition was known by the offender or was reasonably apparent to the offender;

(3) sexual intercourse with a child who is under 14 years of age;

(4) sexual intercourse with a victim when the victim's consent was obtained through a knowing misrepresentation made by the offender that the sexual intercourse was a medically or therapeutically necessary procedure; or

(5) sexual intercourse with a victim when the victim's consent was obtained through a knowing misrepresentation made by the offender that the sexual intercourse was a legally required procedure within the scope of the offender's authority.

The number of rapes reported to Kansas law enforcement increased by 8.1 percent in 2017 from the number reported in 2016. During 2017, approximately 13.2 percent of all reported rape incidents in Kansas were cleared by an arrest, down from 15.3 percent that were cleared for the same time period in 2016. This is an arrest rate, not a clearance rate. Some cases may have been cleared by exceptional means (ex: death of offender or victim refusing to testify). This is still a small portion of rape offenders when one considers that 80.1 percent of the offenders were known to the victim.


Of the rape incidents reported to law enforcement agencies, the rape victim is usually a white (87.0%) female (97.4%) less than 25 years of age (66.7%). The rape offender is most often a white (66.5%) male (92.4%) between the ages of 15 and 29 (47.0%). The crime of rape most frequently occurs during the weekend. Most rapes occur between the hours of midnight and 2:00 a.m.

# Rape Trends 1998-2017

## Rape Trends 1998-2017

YEAR	Offenses	Percent of Change	Incidents per 1,000 Population	YEAR	Offenses	Percent of Change	Incidents per 1,000 Population
1998	1,136	-4.7%	0.43	2008	1,186	-3.7%	0.42
1999	1,122	-1.2%	0.42	2009	1,127	-5.0%	0.40
2000	1,100	-2.0%	0.41	2010	1,099	-2.5%	0.38
2001*	988	-10.2%	0.36	2011	1,103	0.4%	0.37
2002	1,157	17.1%	0.43	2012	1,067	-3.3%	0.36
2003	1,133	-2.1%	0.42	2013	991	-7.1%	0.33
2004	1,153	1.8%	0.43	2014	1,053	6.3%	0.35
2005	1,099	-4.7%	0.40	2015	1,148	9.0%	0.39
2006	1,238	12.6%	0.45	2016	1,146	-0.2%	0.39
2007	1,231	-0.6%	0.45	2017	1,239	8.1%	0.42

## Rape Offenses Reported: Trend



# Rape Crime Clock



These crime clocks should be viewed with care. Being the most aggregate representation of crime data, it is designed to convey the annual crime experience by showing the relative frequency of occurrence of crime incident. This mode of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of these incidents; rather, it represents the annual ratio of crime to fixed time intervals.

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Allen County SO	4,303	1	1	1	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humboldt PD <sup>4</sup>		0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Iola PD	5,413	1	5	8	1.5	1	100.0%	1	20.0%	3	37.5%
Moran PD	511	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
LaHarpe PD <sup>4</sup>		DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>10,227</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
Anderson County SO	4,131	0	0	2	0.5	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Garnett PD	3,241	2	3	0	0.0	1	50.0%	1	33.3%	0	NA
Colony PD	404	DNR	DNR	0	0.0		DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7,776</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Atchison County SO	5,656	0	2	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Atchison PD	10,628	3	8	4	0.4	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>16,284</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Barber County SO	1,767	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Kiowa PD <sup>4</sup>		DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Medicine Lodge PD <sup>4</sup>		0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,767</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Barton County SO	5,933	3	DNR	3	0.5	1	33.3%	DNR	DNR	2	66.7%
Ellinwood PD	2,022	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Great Bend PD	15,459	6	7	13	0.8	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hoisington PD <sup>3</sup>	2,567	1	2	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Claflin PD	620	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>26,601</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
Bourbon County SO	6,482	3	4	3	0.5	1	33.3%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Fort Scott PD	7,725	5	4	4	0.5	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bronson PD <sup>3</sup>	310	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>14,517</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Brown County SO	4,882	1	1	1	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hiawatha PD	3,048	1	0	4	1.3	0	0.0%	0	NA	3	75.0%
Horton PD	1,690	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>9,620</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>60.0%</b>
Butler County SO	25,905	0	6	5	0.2	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Augusta PD	9,329	1	3	1	0.1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
El Dorado PD	13,125	8	8	7	0.5	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
Andover PD	13,183	3	5	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Rose Hill PD	4,029	0	0	1	0.2	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Leon PD <sup>3</sup>	701	DNR	DNR	0	0.0	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA
Benton PD <sup>3</sup>	875	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Butler Community College PD	6,049	0	0	1	0.2	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
Chase County SO <sup>3</sup>	2,646	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,646</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Chautauqua County SO	1,788	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Sedan PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Cedarvale PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,788</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Cherokee County SO	10,169	2	2	1	0.1	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Columbus PD	3,072	2	1	1	0.3	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Galena PD	2,855	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Baxter Springs PD	3,9921	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>20,017</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Cheyenne County SO	1,359	2	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	NA	0	NA
St Francis PD	1,289	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>2,648</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>



# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Clark County SO	2,050	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>2,050</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Clay County SO	3,102	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Clay Center PD	4,025	2	2	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Wakefield PD	944	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>8,071</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Cloud County SO	3,936	0	3	1	0.3	0	NA	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Concordia PD	5,144	5	9	8	1.6	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	3	37.5%
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>9,080</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
Coffey County SO <sup>3</sup>	3,781	2	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	NA
Burlington PD	2,600	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Lebo PD	908	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Totals <sup>8</sup></b>	<b>8,399</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Commanche County SO <sup>3</sup>	544	DNR	DNR	0	0.0	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA
Protection PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Cowley County SO	9,816	0	0	2	0.2	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Arkansas City PD	12,009	2	10	13	1.1	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	7.7%
Winfield PD	12,274	3	8	2	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Burden PD <sup>3</sup>	533	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Udall PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>34,632</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
Crawford County SO	9,609	3	4	2	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pittsburg PD	20,384	12	19	19	0.9	2	16.7%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%
Arma PD	1,438	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Girard PD	2,741	0	2	2	0.7	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Crawford County continued											
Frontenac PD	3,410	0	2	1	0.3	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Cherokee PD <sup>3</sup>	712	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Pittsburg State Univ PD <sup>6</sup>	6,690	0	2	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Mulberry PD	519	DNR	0	0	0.0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>45,503</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
Decatur County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Oberlin PD	1,688	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,688</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Dickinson County SO	8,832	3	3	1	0.1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Abilene PD	6,407	1	3	1	0.2	1	100.0%	2	66.7%	1	100.0%
Herrington PD	2,336	3	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Chapman PD <sup>3</sup>	1,355	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>18,930</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Doniphan County SO	3,191	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Elwood PD	1,154	0	1	1	0.09	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Troy PD	964	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Wathena PD <sup>3</sup>	1,304	2	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Highland PD	998	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7,611</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Douglas County SO <sup>5</sup>	12,997	10	4	6	0.5	4	40.0%	2	50.0%	5	83.3%
Lawrence PD <sup>5</sup>	96,629	60	67	63	0.7	5	8.3%	2	3.0%	3	4.8%
Univ of Kansas PD <sup>6</sup>	22,506	0	3	4	0.2	0	NA	1	33.3%	3	75.0%
Baldwin City PD <sup>5</sup>	4,703	4	0	3	0.6	0	0.0%	0	NA	2	66.7%
Eudora PD <sup>4,5</sup>	6,417	0	DNR	3	0.5	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	0.0%
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>143,252</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16.5%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Edwards County SO	2,917	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>2,917</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Elk County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Howard PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>
Ellis County SO	4,560	1	0	1	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hays PD	21,110	6	3	8	0.4	4	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	37.5%
Ellis PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Victoria PD	1,223	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Fort Hays State Univ PD <sup>6</sup>	9,906	1	3	1	0.1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>36,799</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>30.0%</b>
Ellsworth County SO	1,603	1	0	2	1.2	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Ellsworth PD	3,034	1	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Wilson PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Kanopolis PD	467	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Holyrood PD <sup>3</sup>	434	0	DNR	0	0.0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	NA
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>5,538</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Finney County SO	7,774	6	6	3	0.4	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Garden City PD	26,728	18	20	23	0.9	7	38.9%	4	20.0%	8	34.8%
Holcomb PD	2,145	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Garden City Comm College PD <sup>6</sup>	1,613	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Totals</b>	<b>38,260</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>34.6%</b>
Ford County SO	4,911	4	2	0	0.0	3	75.0%	2	100.0%	0	NA
Dodge City PD	27,447	13	10	9	0.3	2	15.4%	6	60.0%	4	44.4%
Bucklin PD	2,145	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Spearville PD	1,613	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>38,260</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>44.4%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Franklin County SO	11,348	2	2	5	0.4	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	20.0%
Ottawa PD	12,307	6	5	9	0.7	1	16.7%	1	20.0%	2	22.2%
Wellsville PD	1,806	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>25,461</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21.4%</b>
Geary County SO	9,805	0	0	1	0.1	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
Junction City PD	24,240	18	15	12	0.5	5	27.8%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%
Grandview Plaza PD	1,579	2	4	0	0.0	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>35,624</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
Gove County SO	2,571	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,571</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Graham County SO <sup>3</sup>	1,104	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Hill City PD <sup>3</sup>	1,451	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,555</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Grant County SO	1,594	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Ulysses PD	6,014	1	2	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7,608</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Gray County SO	5,063	3	0	1	0.2	2	66.7%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Montezuma PD	967	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,030</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Greeley County SO	1,301	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,301</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Greenwood County SO	6,062	1	0	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,062</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Hamilton County SO	2,507	0	0	3	1.2	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,507</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Harper County SO	1,532	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Anthony PD	2,164	2	2	2	0.9	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Attica PD	569	0	0	2	3.5	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Harper PD <sup>3</sup>	1,361	DNR	DNR	0	0.0	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,626</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
Harvey County SO	5,487	0	0	1	0.2	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
Newton PD	19,095	14	17	18	0.9	4	28.6%	4	23.5%	7	38.9%
Hesston PD	3,817	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Halstead PD	2,080	2	1	0	0.0	1	50.0%	1	100.0%	0	NA
Sedgwick PD	1,695	1	0	1	0.6	0	0.0%	0	NA	1	100.0%
Burrton PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
North Newton PD	1,802	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>33,976</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>45.0%</b>
Haskell County SO	3,959	3	0	0	0.0	2	66.7%	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,959</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Hodgeman County SO	1,861	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,861</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Jackson County SO	8,812	5	4	3	0.3	1	20.0%	3	75.0%	1	33.3%
Holton PD	3,278	0	0	1	0.3	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
Hoyt PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Mayetta PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>12,090</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Jefferson County SO	12,342	2	2	7	0.6	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Valley Falls PD	1,142	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Meriden PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	DNR
McLouth PD	842	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Nortonville PD	609	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Jefferson County continued											
Oskaloosa PD <sup>3</sup>	1,072	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Winchester PD	527	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Perry PD <sup>3</sup>	902	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Ozawie PD	626	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>18,062</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Jewell County SO <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>
Johnson County SO	22,234	5	7	8	0.4	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%
Fairway PD	3,986	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Leawood PD	35,029	1	0	4	0.1	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Merriam PD	11,278	7	7	4	0.4	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mission PD <sup>5</sup>	9,461	10	10	4	0.4	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%
Olathe PD <sup>5</sup>	137,070	53	50	36	0.3	3	5.7%	4	8.0%	4	11.1%
Overland Park PD <sup>5</sup>	191,566	43	32	36	0.2	7	16.3%	3	9.4%	6	16.7%
Prairie Village PD <sup>3</sup>	21,860	1	1	2	0.1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roeland Park PD <sup>4,5</sup>	DNR	2	2	DNR	DNR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	DNR	DNR
Shawnee PD	65,683	17	21	20	0.3	1	5.9%	4	19.0%	2	10.0%
Lenexa PD	53,717	7	9	14	0.3	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%
Westwood PD	2,285	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Gardner PD <sup>3</sup>	21,449	DNR	1	4	0.2	DNR	DNR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mission Hills PD <sup>3</sup>	3,616	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Spring Hill PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Lake Quivira PD <sup>3</sup>	945	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>580,179</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.6%</b>
Kearney County SO	3,901	4	0	1	0.3	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,901</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Kingman County SO	3,974	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Kingman PD	2,973	1	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,947</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Kiowa County SO	1,700	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Greensburg PD	768	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,468</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Labette County SO	6,615	0	0	1	0.2	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Parsons PD	9,815	4	2	10	1.0	1	25.0%	1	50.0%	1	10.0%
Oswego PD	1,729	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Chetopa PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Altamont PD	1,036	0	0	1	1.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>19,195</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
Lane County SO	1,618	0	0	1	0.6	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,618</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Leavenworth County SO	21,307	3	3	4	0.2	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Leavenworth PD	36,284	19	20	20	0.6	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tonganoxie PD	5,379	1	1	2	0.4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lansing PD	11,941	3	0	7	0.6	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Basehor PD	5,835	1	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>80,746</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Lincoln County SO	3,044	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,044</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Linn County SO <sup>3</sup>	5,768	1	1	0	0.0	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	NA
Mound City PD	678	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Pleasanton PD <sup>3</sup>	1,170	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Linn Valley PD	814	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total<sup>8</sup></b>	<b>9,536</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Logan County SO	773	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Oakley PD	2,105	0	2	0	0.0	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,878</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Lyon County SO	7,768	0	3	1	0.1	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Emporia PD <sup>3</sup>	24,804	14	12	11	0.4	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	2	18.2%
Emporia State Univ PD <sup>6</sup>	4,999	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Americus PD <sup>3</sup>	884	0	DNR	0	0.0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>38,455</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16.7%</b>
McPherson County SO	6,829	0	DNR	0	0.0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	NA
McPherson PD	13,169	1	5	5	0.4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%
Lindsborg PD	3,319	1	2	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Moundridge PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Marquette PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Innan PD	1,348	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Canton PD	715	DNR	0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>25,380</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
Marion County SO	5,030	3	0	0	0.0	2	66.7%	0	NA	0	NA
Hillsboro PD	2,870	1	1	1	0.3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion PD	1,823	0	0	1	0.5	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Peabody PD	1,129	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Florence PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Goessel PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Burns PD	219	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>11,071</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Marshall County SO <sup>3</sup>	4,199	0	2	0	0.0	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	NA
Marysville PD	3,294	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Blue Rapids PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	1	DNR	DNR	0	NA	1	100.0%	DNR	DNR
Frankfort PD <sup>3</sup>	687	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8,180</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents		2016 Incidents		2017 Incidents		2017 Per/1,000 Population		2015 Arrests %		2016 Arrests %		2017 Arrests %			
		DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	
Meade County SO <sup>4</sup>																	
Meade PD	1,564	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,564</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	
Miami County SO	16,063	5	4	3	0.2	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Paola PD	5,560	4	5	4	0.7	1	25.0%	3	60.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Osawatomie PD	4,284	0	2	1	0.2	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Louisburg PD	4,391	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>30,298</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Mitchell County SO	2,011	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Beloit PD	3,761	1	0	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Cawker City PD <sup>4</sup>																	
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,772</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Montgomery County SO	10,006	2	0	2	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coffeyville PD	9,423	7	4	3	0.3	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Independence PD	8,692		2	4	0.5	DNR	DNR	1	50.0%	DNR	DNR	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cherryvale PD <sup>4</sup>	2,161		DNR	2	0.9	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caney PD	2,014	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>32,296</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Morris County SO	3,495	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Council Grove PD	2,068	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,563</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Morton County SO	508	1	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Elkhart PD <sup>3</sup>	1,892	1	2	0	0.0	1	100.0%	2	100.0%	1	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,400</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>



# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Nemaha County SO	5,607	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Sabetha PD	2,587	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Seneca PD	2,056	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>10,250</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Neosho County SO	5,848	3	1	0	0.0	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Chanute PD <sup>3</sup>	9,143	8	4	1	0.1	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Erie PD <sup>3</sup>	1,082	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>16,073</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Ness County SO	2,936	1	1	1	0.3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,936</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Norton County SO	2,662	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Norton PD	2,799	0	2	0	0.0	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,461</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Osage County SO	7,225	1	0	3	0.4	0	0.0%	0	NA	2	66.7%
Osage City PD	2,820	2	1	1	0.4	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Burlingame PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Carbondale PD <sup>3</sup>	1,386	DNR	0	0	0.0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA
Lyndon PD	1,016	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Overbrook PD	1,018	0	0	1	1.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Scranton PD	683	DNR	0	0	0.0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA
Melvern PD <sup>3</sup>	359	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>14,507</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40.0%</b>
Osborne County SO	2,266	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Osborne PD	1,341	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,607</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Ottawa County SO	3,572	0	1	1	0.3	0	NA	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Minneapolis PD <sup>3</sup>	1,976	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,548</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Pawnee County SO	2,826	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Larned PD	3,950	0	1	1	0.3	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,776</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Phillips County SO	5,391	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,391</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Pottawatomie County SO	14,688	5	1	1	0.1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
St Mary's PD	2,669	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Wamego PD <sup>3</sup>	4,770	0	1	1	0.2	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
St George PD	1,004	0	0	0	0.0	0	na	0	NA	0	NA
Omega PD <sup>3</sup>	695	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Havensville PD <sup>3</sup>	153	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Pratt County SO	2,803	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.00%	0	NA	0	NA
Pratt PD	6,762	3	0	0	0.0	1	33.3%	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>9,565</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Rawlins County SO	1,342	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Atwood PD	1,213	1	1	0	0.0	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,555</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Reno County SO	16,706	2	0	3	0.2	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Hutchinson PD	41,160	34	21	26	0.6	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%
South Hutchinson PD	2,552	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Haven PD	1,207	1	0	1	0.8	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Buhler PD <sup>3</sup>	1,313	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>62,938</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.7%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Republic County SO	2,774	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Belleville PD <sup>3</sup>	1,881	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	1	100.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4,655</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Rice County SO	2,353	2	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Lyons PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Sterling PD	2,253	DNR	0	0	0.4	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	0.0%
Bushton PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Geneseo PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Little River PD <sup>3</sup>	532	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Chase PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,138</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Riley County PD	73,583	27	42	52	0.7	9	33.3%	6	14.3%	8	15.4%
Kansas State Univ PD <sup>6</sup>	20,845	2	2	1	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>94,428</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
Rooks County SO	1,911	1	0	1	0.5	1	100.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Plainville PD <sup>3</sup>	1,851	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Stockton PD	1,292	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,054</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Rush County SO	3,014	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,014</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Russell County SO	2,484	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Russell PD	4,497	1	0	3	0.7	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,981</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Saline County SO	7,327	2	4	5	0.7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Salina PD	47,251	34	30	34	0.7	9	26.5%	8	26.7%	9	26.5%
Assaria PD <sup>3</sup>	406	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>54,984</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>23.1%</b>
Scott County SO <sup>3</sup>	1,140	1	0	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Scott City PD	3,901	0	0	1	0.3	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,041</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Sedgwick County SO	37,449	1	2	13	0.3	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	3	23.1%
Derby PD	23,821	5	3	9	0.4	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haysville PD	11,314	5	4	4	0.4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wichita PD	391,084	312	292	343	0.9	58	18.6%	29	9.9%	26	7.6%
Valley Center PD	7,431	4	1	4	0.5	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Wichita State Univ PD <sup>6</sup>	11,540	0	0	1	0.1	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
Colwich PD	1,410	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Eastborough PD <sup>3</sup>	759	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Cheney PD	2,176	1	0	2	0.9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clearwater PD	2,524	0	2	0	0.0	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	NA
Goddard PD	4,761	2	0	2	0.4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garden Plain PD <sup>3</sup>	902	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Mount Hope PD	806	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Maize PD	4,621	2	7	0	0.0	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	NA
Park City PD	7,678	4	4	7	0.9	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	2	28.6%
Bel Aire PD	7,819	1	1	3	0.4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Andale PD	1,003	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Keechi PD <sup>3</sup>	2,009	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Bentley PD <sup>4</sup>	DNR	DNR	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>519,107</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>8.2%</b>
Seward County SO	2,279	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	1	100.0%	0	NA
Liberal PD	20,785	11	12	6	0.3	3	27.3%	3	25.0%	2	33.3%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>23,064</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33.3%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Shawnee County SO	48,337	7	6	2	0.1	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Topeka PD <sup>5</sup>	127,166	47	58	44	0.3	10	21.3%	12	20.7%	8	18.2%
Rossville PD <sup>4</sup>		0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Auburn PD	1,209	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Washburn University PD <sup>6</sup>	5,132	0	0	2	0.4	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>181,844</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18.8%</b>
Sheridan County SO <sup>3</sup>	1,330	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Hoxie PD <sup>3</sup>	1,170	0	0	1	0.9	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Sherman County SO <sup>3</sup>	1,519	1	0	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Goodland PD	4,433	0	4	3	0.7	0	NA	1	25.0%	2	66.7%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,952</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66.7%</b>
Smith County SO	2,023	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Smith Center PD <sup>4</sup>		DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,023</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Stafford County SO	1,465	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Stafford PD	968	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
St John PD <sup>3</sup>	1,202	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Macksville PD <sup>3</sup>	535	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4,170</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Stanton County SO <sup>3</sup>	2,031	0	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	NA	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,031</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>	<b>DNR</b>
Stevens County SO <sup>4</sup>		DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Hugoton PD	3,819	0	0	1	0.3	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,819</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Sumner County SO	8,986	5	1	2	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wellington PD	7,845	1	2	4	0.5	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%
Belle Plaine PD	1,585	0	DNR	0	0.0	0	NA	DNR	DNR	0	NA
Mulvane PD	6,343	0	1	4	0.6	0	NA	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Caldwell PD <sup>4</sup>		1	DNR	DNR	DNR	0	0.0%	DNR	DNR	DNR	DNR
Conway Springs PD		0	0	DNR	DNR	0	NA	0	NA	DNR	DNR
Oxford PD	1,012	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Argonia PD	478	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>26,249</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>30.0%</b>
Thomas County SO	2,416	0	0	1	0.4	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
Colby PD	5,420	1	0	1	0.2	1	100.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7,836</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>
Trego County SO	1,087	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Wakeeey PD <sup>3</sup>	1,763	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,850</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Wabaunsee County SO	5,039	1	0	1	0.2	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Alma PD <sup>3</sup>	786	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Alta Vista PD	419	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Maple Hill PD <sup>3</sup>	617	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6,861</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Wallace County SO <sup>3</sup>	1,499	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1,499</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Washington County SO	5,503	0	0	1	0.2	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5,503</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Wichita County SO	2,089	0	0	0	0.0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2,089</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>

# Reported Incidents of Rape By Agency 2015-2017

Reporting Agency	2017 Est. Population	2015 Incidents	2016 Incidents	2017 Incidents	2017 Per/1,000 Population	2015 Arrests	2015 Arrests %	2016 Arrests	2016 Arrests %	2017 Arrests	2017 Arrests %
Wilson County SO	4,052	3	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	NA
Fredonia PD	2,261	2	0	1	0.4	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	0.0%
Neodesha PD	2,293	1	0	0	0.0	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8,606</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Woodson County SO	1,799	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Yates Center PD	1,341	1	1	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3,140</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
Wyandotte County SO <sup>7</sup>	NA	0	2	5	NA	0	NA	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Bonner Springs PD	7,717	1	0	2	0.3	0	0.0%	0	NA	0	NA
Kansas City PD <sup>5</sup>	152,573	94	85	104	0.7	25	26.6%	16	18.8%	10	9.6%
Edwardsville PD	4,395	0	5	4	0.9	0	NA	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
KU Med Center PD <sup>6</sup>	2,725	0	0	1	0.4	0	NA	0	NA	1	100.0%
KC Comm College <sup>6</sup>	3,593	0	1	0	0.0	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>County Total</b>	<b>171,003</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9.5%</b>
State Agencies											
Kansas Bureau of Investigation	NA	0	3	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
Kansas Wildlife and Parks	NA	0	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA
Kansas Highway Patrol	NA	0	1	0	NA	0	NA	0	0.0%	0	NA
<b>Total State Agencies</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>State Total</b>	<b>2,950,100</b>	<b>1,148</b>	<b>1,146</b>	<b>1,239</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>13.2%</b>

1) Data collected are based on information entered into KIBRS by July 30, 2018

2) Agency went to covered by status

3) Agency submitted incomplete data for the current year

4) Agency did not report any data or submit zero reports for the current year

5) Agency reported summary data for 2017. (Summary data is defined on page 5).

6) University population totals are taken from the fall headcount as reported by the Kansas Board of Regents

7) Due to the unique nature of Wyandotte County's merged government the population number does not accurately portray incidents per 1,000

8) County population totals may include agencies not listed that had no rapes to report the past three years

# Rape Victim/Offender Characteristics

## Victim (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	65	3	0	68	58	9	0	0	1	68	7.0%
10-14	141	9	0	150	130	16	0	1	3	150	15.5%
15-19	295	3	0	298	257	39	1	1	0	298	30.7%
20-24	146	5	0	151	130	18	3	0	0	151	15.6%
25-29	76	1	0	77	74	3	0	0	0	77	7.9%
30-34	72	1	0	73	65	7	0	1	0	73	7.5%
35-39	51	1	0	52	47	4	1	0	0	52	5.4%
40-44	47	0	0	47	40	7	0	0	0	47	4.8%
45-49	17	0	0	17	12	4	1	0	0	17	1.8%
50-54	17	0	0	17	13	4	0	0	0	17	1.8%
55-59	7	0	0	7	6	1	0	0	0	7	0.7%
60-64	8	0	0	8	7	1	0	0	0	8	0.8%
65-69	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.2%
70-74	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.2%
75 Plus	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>970</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>97.4%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>87.0%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>		

## Suspect (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
10-14	6	42	0	48	38	8	0	0	2	48	4.6%
15-19	10	216	0	226	166	55	0	1	4	226	22.0%
20-24	3	161	0	164	120	40	0	2	2	164	15.9%
25-29	1	93	0	94	64	27	1	0	2	94	9.1%
30-34	4	93	0	97	67	27	0	1	2	97	9.4%
35-39	4	76	0	80	62	15	1	2	0	80	7.8%
40-44	1	49	0	50	39	10	0	0	1	50	4.9%
45-49	1	43	0	44	28	15	0	0	1	44	4.3%
50-54	0	41	0	41	22	16	1	0	2	41	4.0%
55-59	0	25	0	25	16	8	0	1	0	25	2.4%
60-64	0	9	0	9	4	5	0	0	0	9	0.9%
65-69	0	7	0	7	6	1	0	0	0	7	0.7%
70-74	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.2%
75 Plus	0	3	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	0.3%
Unknown	4	91	44	139	47	23	1	0	68	139	13.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>951</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1,029</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>1,029</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>92.4%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>		<b>66.4%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>		



# Rape Victim to Offender Relationship


Relationship <sup>1 3</sup>	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Spouse	32	2.7%	44	3.7%	46	3.8%
Common Law Spouse	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	5	0.4%
Parent	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
Child	34	2.9%	27	2.3%	48	3.9%
Sibling	24	2.0%	21	1.8%	33	2.6%
Grandparent	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Grandchild	6	0.5%	7	0.6%	6	0.5%
In-Law	6	0.5%	2	0.2%	3	0.2%
Step-parent	3	0.3%	3	0.3%	5	0.4%
Step-child	19	1.6%	14	1.2%	15	1.2%
Step-sibling	6	0.5%	9	0.8%	10	0.8%
Foster Child/sibling	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Other Family Member	36	3.0%	40	3.3%	43	3.5%
Acquaintance	360	30.3%	319	26.6%	333	27.2%
Friend	98	8.2%	67	5.6%	80	6.5%
Roommates	12	1.0%	11	0.9%	15	1.2%
Neighbor	5	0.4%	7	0.6%	19	1.5%
Babysittee	5	0.4%	5	0.4%	6	0.5%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	103	8.7%	130	10.8%	128	10.4%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	78	6.6%	72	6.0%	61	5.0%
Child of BF/GF	6	0.5%	8	0.7%	13	1.1%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Ex-Spouse	7	0.6%	11	0.9%	12	1.0%
Employer/Employee	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	6	0.5%
Co-Worker	13	1.1%	15	1.3%	8	0.7%
Otherwise Known	73	6.1%	67	5.6%	67	5.4%
Victim also Offender <sup>2</sup>	13	1.1%	16	1.3%	13	1.1%
Relationship Unknown	103	8.7%	190	15.8%	126	10.3%
Stranger	134	11.3%	109	9.1%	121	9.9%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,188</b>		<b>1,200</b>		<b>1,226</b>	

1) Relationships are counted for each victim to each offender and may be greater than the number of incidents reported

2) Victim also Offender is used when both individuals are <14 years old


3) Not all agencies completed this section

## Relationship




Significant Other	222	18.9%
Family Member	138	11.7%
Otherwise Known	578	49.2%
Stranger	237	20.2%

## Known vs. Unknown



Known	938	79.8%
Unknown	237	20.2%

## Intimate Relationship




Spouse/Ex	41	17.5%
Dating/Ex	181	77.4%
Roommates	12	5.1%

# Rape Location of Offense

Location	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Parking Lot/Garage	15	1.3%	12	1.0%	9	0.8%
Street	35	3.1%	36	3.1%	49	4.3%
Alley	8	0.7%	5	0.4%	5	0.4%
Highway	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%
Rural Road	16	1.4%	5	0.4%	7	0.6%
Vacant City Lot	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Abandoned Structure	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Single Residence	625	55.1%	571	49.8%	588	52.2%
Multiple Residence	233	20.5%	270	23.6%	255	22.6%
Nursing Care Facility	7	0.6%	6	0.5%	6	0.5%
Dormitory/Sorority/Fraternity	15	1.3%	15	1.3%	8	0.7%
College/University/Proprietary School	4	0.4%	5	0.4%	7	0.6%
All Other Schools	10	0.9%	18	1.6%	15	1.3%
Church/Synagogue/Temple	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	4	0.4%
Government/Tax-Payer Funded Bldg	11	1.0%	13	1.1%	9	0.8%
Jail/Prison	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Hospital	16	1.4%	4	0.3%	17	1.5%
Pharmacy/Doctor's Office	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Commercial Office Building	11	1.0%	6	0.5%	3	0.3%
Service Station	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%
Convenience Store	5	0.4%	4	0.3%	3	0.3%
Department/Discount Store	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Grocery/Supermarket	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Specialty Store	2	0.2%	3	0.3%	3	0.3%
Mall Commons	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Tavern/Bar/Liquor Store	6	0.5%	6	0.5%	5	0.4%
Restaurant	6	0.5%	4	0.3%	4	0.4%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	42	3.7%	73	6.4%	48	4.2%
Storage/Warehouse	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Park Buildings/Shelters	3	0.3%	5	0.4%	6	0.5%
Open Area	39	3.4%	36	3.1%	28	2.5%
Lake/Waterway/River	3	0.3%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%
Other	14	1.2%	31	2.7%	33	2.9%
Recreational Facility	1	0.1%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,135</b>		<b>1,146</b>		<b>1,127</b>	


\* not all agencies completed this portion of the survey

## Building



Residence	880	78.9%
Public Building/Area	146	13.1%
Retail/Office	19	1.7%
Hospitality	54	4.9%
Other	16	1.4%

## Hospitality



Hotel/Motel	42	77.8%
Tavern/Bar	6	11.1%
Restaurant	6	11.1%

## Public Area



Parking	16	13.2%
Streets	60	49.6%
Parks/Open	42	34.7%
Waterway	3	2.5%







# Rape Weapon Used

## Weapon Used

Weapon Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Firearm	3	0.3%	4	0.3%	2	0.2%
Handgun	10	0.9%	22	1.9%	12	1.0%
Rifle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shotgun	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Knife/Other Cutting Instrument	18	1.6%	16	1.4%	14	1.2%
Blunt Object	3	0.3%	4	0.3%	3	0.3%
Motor Vehicle	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Personal Weapons (Hands/Fist/Feet)	891	78.0%	879	74.2%	863	74.9%
Poison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Explosive	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fire/Incendiary Device	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Drugs/Narcotics	9	0.8%	13	1.1%	13	1.1%
Asphyxiation	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%
Other Weapons	11	1.0%	25	2.1%	35	3.1%
None	150	13.1%	147	12.4%	149	12.9%
Unknown	48	4.2%	70	5.9%	60	5.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,143</b>		<b>1,185</b>		<b>1,152</b>	

More than one weapon may be listed per incident.

## 3 Year Trends: Breakdown



# Rape


## Type of Physical Injury

### Type of Physical Injury

Injury Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Broken Bones	3	0.2%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Possible Internal Injuries	72	5.9%	63	5.6%	51	4.6%
Severe Laceration	3	0.2%	2	0.2%	5	0.5%
Apparent Minor Injuries	210	17.3%	210	18.5%	216	19.5%
Other Major Injury	12	1.0%	16	1.4%	3	0.3%
Loss of Teeth	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unconsciousness	9	0.7%	12	1.1%	15	1.4%
None	906	74.6%	830	73.2%	816	73.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,215</b>		<b>1,134</b>		<b>1,108</b>	

More than one injury type may be reported per incident. Not all Summary agencies reported complete data.

### Rape: Type of Physical Injury 3yr Trend




# Rape Day of Occurrence

## Day of the Week: Offense

Day	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Sunday	189	16.7%	169	15.1%	208	18.1%
Monday	144	12.7%	150	13.4%	153	13.3%
Tuesday	140	12.3%	134	12.0%	123	10.7%
Wednesday	147	13.0%	134	12.0%	150	13.1%
Thursday	151	13.3%	134	12.0%	138	12.1%
Friday	158	13.9%	206	18.4%	161	14.0%
Saturday	206	18.1%	194	17.3%	215	18.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,135</b>		<b>1,121</b>		<b>1,148</b>	

\* not all agencies completed this portion of the survey

## Rape: Day of Occurrence 3yr Trend




# Rape Time of Incident

## Time of Offense

Time	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
00:00—01:59	188	16.6%	193	16.8%	206	17.9%
02:00—03:59	129	11.4%	126	11.0%	120	10.5%
04:00—05:59	65	5.7%	70	6.1%	68	5.9%
06:00—07:59	62	5.5%	54	4.7%	70	6.1%
08:00—09:59	101	8.9%	103	9.0%	101	8.8%
10:00—11:59	115	10.1%	99	8.6%	86	7.5%
12:00—13:59	112	9.9%	107	9.3%	116	10.1%
14:00—15:59	56	4.9%	53	4.6%	46	4.0%
16:00—17:59	78	6.9%	59	5.1%	43	3.8%
18:00—19:59	66	5.8%	61	5.3%	70	6.1%
20:00—21:59	75	6.6%	90	7.9%	95	8.3%
22:00—23:59	84	7.4%	91	7.9%	105	9.1%
Unknown	4	0.4%	40	3.5%	22	1.9%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,135</b>		<b>1,146</b>		<b>1,148</b>	

\* not all agencies completed this portion of the survey

## Rape: Time of Incident 3yr Trend



# Criminal Sodomy

## Criminal Sodomy: K.S.A. 21-5504

The Kansas criminal sodomy statute does not distinguish the gender of a sodomy victim, therefore, offenses involving both male and female victims were reported by law enforcement agencies.

K.S.A. 21-5504, (a) Criminal sodomy is:

- (1) Sodomy between persons who are 16 or more years of age and members of the same sex;
- (2) sodomy between a person and an animal;
- (3) sodomy with a child who is 14 or more years of age but less than 16 years of age; or
- (4) causing a child 14 or more years of age but less than 16 years of age to engage in sodomy with any person or animal.

(b) Aggravated criminal sodomy is:

- (1) Sodomy with a child who is under 14 years of age;
- (2) causing a child under 14 years of age to engage in sodomy with any person or an animal; or
- (3) sodomy with a victim who does not consent to the sodomy or causing a victim, without the victim's consent, to engage in sodomy with any person or an animal under any of the following circumstances:
  - (A) When the victim is overcome by force or fear;
  - (B) when the victim is unconscious or physically powerless; or
  - (C) when the victim is incapable of giving consent because of mental deficiency or disease, or when the victim is incapable of giving consent because of the effect of any alcoholic liquor, narcotic, drug or other substance, which condition was known by, or was reasonably apparent to, the offender.

After a 17% decrease in 2016, the number of criminal sodomy offenses reported to Kansas law enforcement increased 5.1 percent in 2017.


Of the incidents reported to law enforcement agencies, the sodomy victim is usually a white (90.5%) female (57.9%) less than 20 years of age (74.0%). The rape offender is most often a white (73.1%) male (88.3%) between the ages of 10 and 24 (51.1%). The crime of sodomy does not appear to be more predominant on any certain day. Most sodomy offenses occur between the hours of midnight and 2:00 a.m.

# Criminal Sodomy Trends 2003-2017

## Criminal Sodomy Trends 2003-2017


YEAR	Offenses	Percent of Change	YEAR	Offenses	Percent of Change
2003	351		2010	390	-6.5%
2004	404	15.1%	2011	425	9.0%
2005	355	-12.1%	2012	424	-0.2%
2006	335	-5.6%	2013	362	-14.6%
2007	434	29.6%	2014	292	-19.3%
2008	394	-9.2%	2015	358	22.6%
2009	417	5.8%	2016	296	-17.3%
			2017	311	5.1%

## Criminal Sodomy Offenses Reported: Trend





# Criminal Sodomy Crime Clock



These crime clocks should be viewed with care. Being the most aggregate representation of crime data, it is designed to convey the annual crime experience by showing the relative frequency of occurrence of crime incident. This mode of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of these incidents; rather, it represents the annual ratio of crime to fixed time intervals.

# Criminal Sodomy

## Victim/Offender Characteristics

### Victim (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	39	47	0	86	74	10	1	0	1	86	31.5%
10-14	41	28	0	69	66	1	0	0	2	69	25.3%
15-19	31	16	0	47	42	5	0	0	0	47	17.2%
20-24	13	5	0	18	18	0	0	0	0	18	6.6%
25-29	8	5	0	13	11	2	0	0	0	13	4.7%
30-34	5	3	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	2.9%
35-39	6	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	2.2%
40-44	2	3	0	5	4	1	0	0	0	5	1.8%
45-49	2	3	0	5	4	0	1	0	0	5	1.8%
50-54	3	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	1.5%
55-59	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.4%
60-64	4	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	1.5%
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
70-74	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.4%
75 Plus	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.4%
Unknown	1	3	1	5	3	1	0	0	1	5	1.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>273</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>		<b>90.5%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>		

### Suspect (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0.8%
10-14	10	45	0	55	46	8	0	0	1	55	20.8%
15-19	4	54	0	58	46	9	0	0	3	58	22.0%
20-24	2	20	0	22	17	5	0	0	0	22	8.3%
25-29	2	22	0	24	19	3	0	0	2	24	9.1%
30-34	1	19	0	20	15	5	0	0	0	20	7.6%
35-39	2	11	0	13	11	2	0	0	0	13	4.9%
40-44	0	11	0	11	8	3	0	0	0	11	4.2%
45-49	1	6	0	7	6	1	0	0	0	7	2.6%
50-54	0	9	0	9	6	3	0	0	0	9	3.4%
55-59	1	3	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	4	1.5%
60-64	1	6	0	7	6	1	0	0	0	7	2.7%
65-69	0	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	1.1%
70-74	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.4%
75 Plus	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.4%
Unknown	0	20	7	27	6	8	0	0	13	27	10.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>264</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>88.3%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>		<b>73.1%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>		

# Criminal Sodomy Victim to Offender Relationship


Relationship <sup>1 3</sup>	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Spouse	1	0.28%	7	2.19%	7	2.04%
Common Law Spouse	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	0.58%
Parent	1	0.28%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Child	18	5.13%	17	5.31%	18	5.25%
Sibling	24	6.84%	24	7.50%	27	7.87%
Grandparent	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.00%
Grandchild	6	1.71%	3	0.94%	10	2.92%
In-Law	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.29%
Step-parent	0	0.0%	1	0.31%	1	0.29%
Step-child	7	1.99%	8	2.50%	6	1.75%
Step-sibling	5	1.42%	6	1.88%	3	0.87%
Foster Child/sibling	4	1.14%	1	0.31%	6	1.75%
Other Family Member	25	7.12%	29	9.06%	42	12.24%
Acquaintance	91	25.93%	81	25.31%	79	23.03%
Friend	23	6.55%	12	3.75%	23	6.71%
Roommates	11	3.13%	9	2.81%	5	1.46%
Neighbor	3	0.85%	5	1.56%	3	0.87%
Babysittee	3	0.85%	4	1.25%	0	0.00%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	20	5.70%	26	8.13%	24	7.00%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	10	2.85%	9	2.81%	5	1.46%
Child of BF/GF	2	0.57%	9	2.81%	5	1.46%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Homosexual Relationship	7	1.99%	7	2.19%	4	1.17%
Ex-Spouse	3	0.85%	2	0.63%	0	0.00%
Co-Worker/Employer	2	0.57%	4	1.25%	1	0.29%
Otherwise Known	37	10.54%	25	7.81%	21	6.12%
Victim also Offender <sup>2</sup>	10	2.85%	4	1.25%	10	2.92%
Relationship Unknown	18	5.13%	18	5.63%	24	7.00%
Stranger	20	5.70%	9	2.81%	16	4.66%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>351</b>		<b>320</b>		<b>343</b>	

1) Relationships are counted for each victim to each offender and may be greater than the number of incidents reported

2) Victim also Offender is used when both individuals are <14 years old

3) Not all agencies completed this section

## Relationship




Significant Other	41	12.0%
Family Member	92	27.0%
Otherwise Known	170	49.9%
Stranger	38	11.1%

## Known vs. Unknown



Known	298	88.7%
Unknown	38	11.3%

## Intimate Relationship




Spouse/Ex	3	5.9%
Dating/Ex	30	58.8%
Roommates	11	21.6%
Homosexual	7	13.7%

# Criminal Sodomy Location of Offense


Location	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Parking Lot/Garage	1	0.3%	2	0.7%	1	0.3%
Street	6	1.9%	6	2.0%	5	1.6%
Alley	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	3	1.0%
Highway	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Rural Road	7	2.3%	4	1.4%	5	1.6%
Vacant City Lot	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Abandoned Structure	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Single Residence	194	62.4%	175	59.1%	175	57.2%
Multiple Residence	33	10.6%	50	16.9%	48	15.7%
Nursing Care Facility	6	1.9%	4	1.4%	4	1.3%
Dormitory/Sorority/Fraternity	5	1.6%	3	1.0%	3	1.0%
College/University/Propriety School	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	2	0.7%
All Other Schools	11	3.5%	6	2.0%	4	1.3%
Church/Synagogue/Temple	1	0.3%	5	1.7%	0	0.0%
Government/Tax-Payer Funded Bldg	4	1.3%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Jail/Prison	9	2.9%	5	1.7%	5	1.6%
Hospital	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	4	1.3%
Pharmacy/Doctor's Office	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Commercial Office Building	3	1.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Service Station	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Convenience Store	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Department/Discount Store	1	0.3%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%
Grocery/Supermarket	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Specialty Store	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mall Commons	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tavern/Bar/Liquor Store	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Restaurant	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	6	1.9%	5	1.7%	8	2.6%
Storage/Warehouse	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Park Buildings/Shelters	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	2	0.7%
Open Area	7	2.3%	8	2.7%	8	2.6%
Unknown/Did Not Report	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	4.2%
Other	7	2.3%	7	2.4%	8	2.6%
Recreational Facility	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	4	1.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>311</b>		<b>296</b>		<b>306</b>	

## Buildings




Residence	238	77.5%
Public Building	28	9.1%
Retail/Office	4	1.3%
Hospitality	6	2.0%
Other	31	10.1%

## Hospitality



Hotel/Motel	6	100.0%
Tavern/Bar	0	0.0%
Restaurant	0	0.0%

## Public Area



Parking	1	3.8%
Streets	15	57.7%
Parks/Open	8	30.8%
Waterway	2	7.7%


# Criminal Sodomy Weapon Used

## Weapon Used

Weapon Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Firearm	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Handgun	4	1.3%	2	0.7%	1	0.3%
Rifle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shotgun	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knife/Other Cutting Instrument	2	0.6%	2	0.7%	3	1.0%
Blunt Object	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.7%
Motor Vehicle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Personal Weapons (Hands/Fist/Feet)	244	77.2%	218	73.2%	246	80.4%
Poison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Explosive	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fire/Incendiary Device	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Drugs/Narcotics	1	0.3%	4	1.3%	1	0.3%
Asphyxiation	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Other Weapons	3	1.0%	12	4.0%	4	1.3%
None	46	14.6%	52	17.4%	27	8.8%
Unknown/Did Not Report	14	4.4%	6	2.0%	22	7.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>316</b>		<b>298</b>		<b>306</b>	

More than one weapon may be listed per incident.

## 3 Year Trends: Breakdown



# Criminal Sodomy


## Type of Physical Injury

### Type of Physical Injury

Injury Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Broken Bones	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Possible Internal Injuries	17	5.3%	17	5.6%	14	4.3%
Severe Laceration	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Apparent Minor Injuries	42	12.9%	33	10.8%	38	11.7%
Other Major Injury	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
Loss of Teeth	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unconsciousness	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
None	259	79.7%	253	82.7%	271	83.4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>325</b>		<b>306</b>		<b>325</b>	

More than one injury type may be reported per incident. Not all Summary agencies reported complete data.

### Criminal Sodomy: Type of Physical Injury 3yr Trend




# Criminal Sodomy Day of Occurrence

## Day of the Week: Offense

Day	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Sunday	39	12.5%	38	12.8%	44	14.4%
Monday	56	18.0%	48	16.2%	35	11.4%
Tuesday	30	9.6%	42	14.2%	45	14.7%
Wednesday	37	11.9%	38	12.8%	48	15.7%
Thursday	51	16.4%	30	10.2%	37	12.1%
Friday	49	15.8%	53	17.9%	38	12.4%
Saturday	49	15.8%	47	15.9%	59	19.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>311</b>		<b>296</b>		<b>306</b>	

## Criminal Sodomy: Day of Occurrence 3yr Trend




# Criminal Sodomy Time of Incident

## Time of Offense

Time	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
00:00—01:59	50	16.1%	72	24.3%	81	26.5%
02:00—03:59	15	4.8%	17	5.7%	14	4.6%
04:00—05:59	9	2.9%	12	4.1%	7	2.3%
06:00—07:59	15	4.8%	7	2.4%	11	3.6%
08:00—09:59	30	9.6%	36	12.2%	31	10.1%
10:00—11:59	27	8.7%	21	7.1%	18	5.9%
12:00—13:59	42	13.5%	22	7.4%	28	9.2%
14:00—15:59	21	6.8%	14	4.7%	25	8.2%
16:00—17:59	29	9.3%	24	8.1%	21	6.9%
18:00—19:59	16	5.1%	12	4.1%	12	3.9%
20:00—21:59	28	9.0%	23	7.8%	13	4.2%
22:00—23:59	22	7.1%	27	9.1%	22	7.2%
Unknown	7	2.3%	9	3.0%	23	7.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>311</b>		<b>296</b>		<b>306</b>	

\* not all agencies completed this portion of the survey

## Criminal Sodomy: Time of Incident 3yr Trend





# Sexual Battery

## **Sexual Battery: K.S.A. 21-5505 thru 21-5506**

K.S.A. 21-5505, (a) Sexual battery is the touching of a victim who is not the spouse of the offender, who is 16 or more years of age and who does not consent thereto, with the intent to arouse or satisfy the sexual desires of the offender or another.

(b) Aggravated sexual battery is the touching of a victim who is 16 or more years of age and who does not consent thereto with the intent to arouse or satisfy the sexual desires of the offender or another and under any of the following circumstances:

- (1) When the victim is overcome by force or fear;
- (2) when the victim is unconscious or physically powerless; or
- (3) when the victim is incapable of giving consent because of mental deficiency or disease, or when the victim is incapable of giving consent because of the effect of any alcoholic liquor, narcotic, drug or other substance, which condition was known by, or was reasonably apparent to, the offender.

After several years of declines, sexual batteries reported to Kansas law enforcement increased 2.8 percent in 2017 from the number reported in 2016.


Of the sexual battery incidents reported to law enforcement agencies, the victim is usually a white (85.3%) female (82.9%) less than 20 years of age (76.1%). The sexual battery offender is most often a white (73.6%) male (90.2%) between the ages of 15 and 19 (22.2%). The crime of sexual battery occurs in almost identical numbers each day of the week. Most sexual batteries occur either between the hours of midnight and 2:00 a.m. or between noon and 2:00 p.m.

# Sexual Battery Trends 2003-2017

## Sexual Battery Trends 2003-2017

YEAR	Offenses	Percent of Change	YEAR	Offenses	Percent of Change
2003	1,865		2010	1,747	1.7%
2004	1,956	4.9%	2011	1,710	-2.1%
2005	1,876	-4.1%	2012	1,691	-1.1%
2006	1,932	3.0%	2013	1,504	-11.1%
2007	1,890	-2.2%	2014	1,452	-3.5%
2008	1,773	-6.2%	2015	1,418	-2.3%
2009	1,718	-3.1%	2016	1,326	-6.5%
			2017	1,363	2.8%

## Sexual Battery Offenses Reported: Trend



# Sexual Battery Crime Clock



These crime clocks should be viewed with care. Being the most aggregate representation of crime data, it is designed to convey the annual crime experience by showing the relative frequency of occurrence of crime incident. This mode of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of these incidents; rather, it represents the annual ratio of crime to fixed time intervals.

# Sexual Battery Victim/Offender Characteristics

## Victim (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	195	88	0	283	226	55	0	0	2	283	24.1%
10-14	290	47	0	337	294	38	0	4	1	337	28.7%
15-19	247	28	0	275	231	38	1	4	1	275	23.4%
20-24	68	9	0	77	73	2	0	1	1	77	6.6%
25-29	45	9	0	54	47	5	1	0	1	54	4.6%
30-34	32	4	0	36	32	3	1	0	0	36	3.0%
35-39	24	2	0	26	25	1	0	0	0	26	2.2%
40-44	11	0	1	12	11	1	0	0	0	12	1.0%
45-49	23	2	0	25	18	6	1	0	0	25	2.1%
50-54	16	0	0	16	15	1	0	0	0	16	1.4%
55-59	5	1	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	0.5%
60-64	7	1	0	8	7	1	0	0	0	8	0.7%
65-69	2	2	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0.3%
70-74	3	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0.3%
75 Plus	6	3	0	9	8	0	0	0	1	9	0.8%
Unknown	1	2	1	4	2	0	0	0	2	4	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>975</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1,176</b>	<b>1,003</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1,176</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>		<b>85.3%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>		

## Suspect (Summary agencies are not included. Incidents may also include more than one victim or suspect.)

Age	Female	Male	Unknown	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	Unknown	Total	% of Total
Under 10	2	4	0	6	2	2	0	0	2	6	0.5%
10-14	28	129	0	157	115	36	0	2	4	157	14.3%
15-19	27	216	1	244	172	64	1	1	6	244	22.2%
20-24	6	92	0	98	80	14	0	1	3	98	8.9%
25-29	8	76	0	84	58	23	0	2	1	84	7.6%
30-34	4	83	0	87	64	20	1	2	0	87	7.9%
35-39	2	83	0	85	71	12	0	2	0	85	7.7%
40-44	3	65	0	68	58	10	0	0	0	68	6.2%
45-49	3	39	0	42	35	7	0	0	0	42	3.8%
50-54	1	53	0	54	41	12	0	1	0	54	4.9%
55-59	2	36	0	38	29	9	0	0	0	38	3.5%
60-64	0	26	0	26	23	1	2	0	0	26	2.4%
65-69	0	23	0	23	20	0	0	3	0	23	2.1%
70-74	0	14	0	14	13	1	0	0	0	14	1.3%
75 Plus	1	11	0	12	9	1	0	1	1	12	1.1%
Unknown	1	42	19	62	19	9	0	0	34	62	5.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>992</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>809</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1,100</b>	
<b>Percent</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>		<b>73.5%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>		

# Sexual Battery Victim to Offender Relationship


Relationship <sup>1 2</sup>	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015 <sup>3</sup>	Percent of Total
Spouse	12	0.85%	5	0.36%	3	0.24%
Common Law Spouse	0	0.00%	1	0.07%	0	0.00%
Parent	3	0.21%	1	0.07%	1	0.08%
Child	83	5.89%	68	4.92%	96	7.70%
Sibling	55	3.91%	50	3.62%	45	3.61%
Grandparent	0	0.0%	0	0.00%	0	0.0%
Grandchild	40	2.84%	31	2.24%	31	2.49%
In-Law	2	0.14%	1	0.07%	2	0.16%
Step-parent	7	0.50%	6	0.43%	10	0.80%
Step-child	33	2.34%	35	2.53%	39	3.13%
Step-sibling	13	0.92%	14	1.01%	24	1.92%
Foster Child/sibling	15	1.07%	12	0.87%	10	0.80%
Other Family Member	86	6.11%	109	7.88%	119	9.54%
Acquaintance	409	29.05%	412	29.79%	303	24.30%
Friend	76	5.40%	74	5.35%	66	5.29%
Roommates	23	1.63%	17	1.23%	15	1.20%
Neighbor	32	2.27%	29	2.10%	17	1.36%
Babysittee	6	0.43%	14	1.01%	8	0.64%
Boyfriend/Girlfriend	75	5.33%	101	7.30%	88	7.06%
Ex-Boyfriend/Girlfriend	22	1.56%	23	1.66%	22	1.76%
Child of BF/GF	29	2.06%	30	2.17%	17	1.36%
BF/GF of Suspect's Parent	4	0.28%	3	0.22%	3	0.24%
Homosexual Relationship	2	0.14%	0	0.00%	4	0.32%
Ex-Spouse	2	0.14%	4	0.29%	1	0.08%
Employer/Employee	17	1.21%	9	0.65%	9	0.72%
Co-Worker	26	1.85%	25	1.81%	9	0.72%
Otherwise Known	149	10.58%	126	9.11%	124	9.94%
Relationship Unknown	70	4.97%	72	5.21%	73	5.85%
Stranger	117	8.31%	111	8.03%	108	8.66%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,408</b>		<b>1,383</b>		<b>1,247</b>	

1) Relationships are counted for each victim to each offender and may be greater than the number of incidents reported

2) Not all agencies completed this section


3) Does not include summary agency data

## Relationship




Significant Other	113	8.0%
Family Member	370	26.3%
Otherwise Known	738	52.4%
Stranger	187	13.3%

## Otherwise Known



Acquaintance	409	55.4%
Workplace	4849	6.6%
Other	149	20.2%
Friend/Room/etc	131	17.8%

## Family




Parent	14	4.0%
Child	160	46.4%
Sibling	83	24.1%
Other	88	25.5%

Each includes step, foster, and grand relationships

# Sexual Battery Location of Offense


Location	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Parking Lot/Garage	11	0.8%	20	1.5%	4	0.3%
Street	53	3.9%	48	3.6%	32	2.7%
Alley	2	0.1%	5	0.4%	1	0.1%
Highway	4	0.3%	1	0.1%	5	0.4%
Rural Road	10	0.7%	7	0.5%	9	0.8%
Abandoned Structure	3	0.2%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Single Residence	736	54.0%	653	49.2%	630	53.1%
Multiple Residence	128	9.4%	174	13.1%	109	9.2%
Nursing Care Facility	28	2.1%	22	1.7%	11	0.9%
Dormitory/Sorority/Fraternity	6	0.4%	8	0.6%	3	0.3%
College/University/Propriety School	5	0.4%	13	1.0%	3	0.3%
All Other Schools	138	10.1%	80	6.0%	70	5.9%
Church/Synagogue/Temple	1	0.1%	7	0.5%	7	0.6%
Government/Tax-Payer Funded Bldg	22	1.6%	20	1.5%	12	1.0%
Jail/Prison	12	0.9%	11	0.8%	13	1.1%
Hospital	14	1.0%	9	0.7%	13	1.1%
Pharmacy/Doctor's Office	3	0.2%	4	0.3%	1	0.1%
Bank/ATM	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Commercial Office Building	20	1.5%	9	0.7%	15	1.3%
Service Station/Convenience Store	11	0.8%	12	0.9%	10	0.8%
Department/Discount Store	18	1.3%	10	0.8%	11	0.9%
Grocery/Supermarket	6	0.4%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%
Specialty Store	7	0.5%	5	0.4%	12	1.0%
Mall Commons	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%
Tavern/Bar/Liquor Store	13	1.0%	9	0.7%	11	0.9%
Restaurant	18	1.3%	23	1.7%	13	1.1%
Hotel/Motel/Inn	13	1.0%	20	1.5%	22	1.9%
Storage/Warehouse	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Park Buildings/Shelters	3	0.2%	8	0.6%	2	0.2%
Open Area	35	2.6%	25	1.9%	30	2.5%
Lake/Waterway/River	5	0.4%	3	0.2%	1	0.1%
Other	25	1.8%	16	1.2%	11	0.9%
Recreational Facility	12	0.9%	11	0.8%	10	0.8%
Unknown/Did Not Report	0	0.0%	76	5.7%	110	9.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,363</b>		<b>1,326</b>		<b>1,187</b>	

## Buildings




Open Area	135	9.9%
Residence	898	65.9%
Public Building	192	14.1%
Retail/Office	66	4.8%
Hospitality	44	3.2%
Other	28	2.1%

## Hospitality



Hotel/Motel	13	29.6%
Tavern/Bar	13	29.6%
Restaurant	18	40.8%

## Residential



Single	736	82.0%
Multiple	162	18.0%


# Sexual Battery Weapon Used

## Weapon Used

Weapon Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Firearm	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Handgun	3	0.2%	5	0.4%	1	0.1%
Rifle	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shotgun	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Knife/Other Cutting Instrument	1	0.1%	7	0.5%	5	0.4%
Blunt Object	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Motor Vehicle	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%
Personal Weapons (Hands/Fist/Feet)	1,107	80.5%	961	75.9%	914	83.5%
Poison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Explosive	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fire/Incendiary Device	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Drugs/Narcotics	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Asphyxiation	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other Weapons	20	1.4%	21	1.7%	12	1.1%
None	182	13.2%	232	18.3%	132	12.1%
Unknown	60	4.3%	36	2.8%	27	2.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,376</b>		<b>1,266</b>		<b>1,094</b>	

Not all agencies reported this data element.

## 3 Year Trends: Breakdown



# Sexual Battery


## Type of Physical Injury

### Type of Physical Injury

Injury Type	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Broken Bones	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Possible Internal Injuries	6	0.4%	6	0.4%	10	0.8%
Severe Laceration	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Apparent Minor Injuries	69	5.0%	67	5.0%	64	5.2%
Other Major Injury	2	0.2%	3	0.2%	2	0.2%
Loss of Teeth	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unconsciousness	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
None	1,301	94.3%	1,270	94.2%	1,142	93.8%
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>1,348</b>		<b>1,218</b>	

More than one injury type may be reported per incident. Not all Summary agencies reported complete data.

### Sexual Battery: Type of Physical Injury 3yr Trend






# Sexual Battery Day of Occurrence

## Day of the Week: Offense

Day	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
Sunday	181	13.3%	187	14.1%	187	15.8%
Monday	203	14.9%	192	14.5%	129	10.9%
Tuesday	203	14.9%	192	14.5%	156	13.1%
Wednesday	185	13.6%	168	12.7%	139	11.7%
Thursday	200	14.7%	165	12.4%	187	15.8%
Friday	208	15.2%	240	18.1%	207	17.4%
Saturday	183	13.4%	182	13.7%	182	15.3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,363</b>		<b>1,326</b>		<b>1,187</b>	

## Sexual Battery: Day of Occurrence 3yr Trend




# Sexual Battery Time of Incident

## Time of Offense

Time	2017	Percent of Total	2016	Percent of Total	2015	Percent of Total
00:00—01:59	210	15.4%	192	14.5%	199	16.8%
02:00—03:59	89	6.5%	68	5.1%	103	8.7%
04:00—05:59	68	5.0%	66	5.0%	74	6.2%
06:00—07:59	91	6.7%	84	6.3%	77	6.5%
08:00—09:59	182	13.3%	187	14.1%	154	13.0%
10:00—11:59	142	10.4%	122	9.2%	103	8.7%
12:00—13:59	215	15.8%	187	14.1%	192	16.2%
14:00—15:59	91	6.7%	116	8.7%	56	4.7%
16:00—17:59	76	5.6%	82	6.2%	67	5.6%
18:00—19:59	66	4.8%	86	6.5%	61	5.1%
20:00—21:59	68	5.0%	83	6.3%	51	4.3%
22:00—23:59	64	4.7%	50	3.8%	47	4.0%
Unknown	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	3	0.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,363</b>		<b>1,326</b>		<b>1,187</b>	

\* not all agencies completed this portion of the survey

## Sexual Battery: Time of Incident 3yr Trend





## Resources for Victims

**If you are in immediate danger or a threatening situation, please call 911.**

- **Attorney General's Office - Victims' Services Division: 1-800-828-9745**
- **The Kansas Crisis Hotline: 1-888-END-ABUSE (1-888-363-2287)** is a toll-free, 24-hour statewide crisis hotline developed to link victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking to crisis programs across Kansas.

The Hotline offers:

- Confidential, non-judgmental support
  - Crisis intervention to help you identify problems and possible solutions, including safety plans for emergencies
  - Referral to community programs or women's shelters for long term support
  - Information about domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, child abuse, and prevention programs
- **Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence (KCSDV)** is a network of statewide advocacy programs whose primary goals are the prevention and elimination of sexual and domestic violence through a network of programs providing support and safety for all victims of sexual and domestic violence and stalking, with primary focus on women and their children; direct services; public awareness and education; advocacy for victims; comprehensive prevention; and social change efforts.  
[www.kcsdv.org](http://www.kcsdv.org)